Initial Evaluation of the Cumulus Potential Scheme at the ACRF SGP Site

Larry Berg, William Gustafson, and Evgueni Kassianov Pacific Northwest National Laboratory



1. Motivation

Shallow clouds are poorly predicted by current global and regional scale models. A new parameterization has been developed that links the boundary-layer turbulence and the shallow clouds.

2. The CuP Parameterization

•The Cumulus Potential (CuP) parameterization uses Probability Density Functions (PDFs) of temperature and

4. Implementation in WRF

- The CuP scheme was implemented in the standard Kain-Fritsch scheme (Kain and Fritsch 1990; Kain 2004).
- The trigger function has been replaced by PDFs.
- Domain: 131 x 131 x 45, 12 km grid spacing
- Physics: Thompson microphysics, CAM radiation,
 - Noah land surface model,

WRF simulation domain.



Issues related to cloud fraction

Parametrization calling order in WRF leads to the premature evaporation of shallow clouds! Solution: Prescribe cloud fraction & mass for radiation

moisture to represent the subgrid scale processes (Berg and Stull 2005). • PDFs represent the range of parcel properties within the grid box. • PDFs are mixtures of air from the surface, the mixed layer, and the entrainment zone at the boundary-layer top.



YSU PBL

5. Case Studies Results

- Simulations for 17, 18, 19 July 2004
- Control simulations made with standard KF scheme (WRF KF) • Experimental simulations made with CuP scheme (WRF CuP)
- Shallow clouds predicted by WRF CuP at grid points near the Central Facility Cloud properties are consistent with climatology

Time series of WRF CuP predicted (orange), WRF KF predicted (black) and observed (blue) downwelling shortwave radition (top); WRF CuP predicted (orange) and observed (black) cloud-base and cloud-top height (middle). Shading indicates range of values of the four grid points closest to the Central Facility.



and observed



Mixing diagram of water vapor mixing ratio (q) and potential temperature (θ) computed from WRF output at 18:00 and 19:00 UTC on 27 June, 2004. Colored contours show the PDF computed from the surface, mixed layer and entrainment zone properties (Berg and Stull 2004).

3. New Cloud Climatology • Computed for the summers (May-August) 2000 through 2004 (Berg and Kassianov 2008). • Uses ARSCL VAP, Total Sky Imager, and radar wind profiler.



References:

Berg, L.K., and E. I. Kassianov, 2008: Temporal variability of fair-weather cumulus

Box and whisker plots of cloud-base height (CBH; A), cloud thickness (CTK; B), cloud fraction (CF, C), and cloud-chord length (CCL; D) computed for the summers of 2000 through 2004. Solid line within the box indicates the median, the box indicates the 75th and 25th percentile, and the error bars indicate the maximum and minimum.

with WRF.

 Simulations with the new scheme have increased amounts of shallow clouds. Cloud-base height and cloud-top height are consistent with climatology.

•CuP parameterization has been linked

statistics at the ACRF SGP site. J. Climate (accepted).

Berg, L.K., and R.B. Stull, 2004: Param. of JFDs of potential temperature and water vapor mixing ratio in the daytime convective boundary layer. 61. J. Atmos. Sci., 813-828.

Berg, L.K., and R.B Stull, 2005: A simple param. coupling the convective daytime boundary layer and fair-weather cumuli. J. Atmos. Sci., 63, 1976-1988. Kain, J.S., 2004: The Kain-Fritsch convective parameterization: An update. J. Applied Meteor., **43**, 170-181. Kain, J. S., and J.M. Fritsch, 1990: A one-dimensional entraining/detraining plume model and its application in convective parameterization. J. Atmos. Sci., 47, 2784-2802.

Contract Information: Larry.Berg@pnl.gov Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, PO Box 999, Richland WA 99352

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy

Acknowledgements: This work has been supported by the U.S. DOE as part of the ARM Program under contract DE-AC05-76RL01830