

Sensitivity Study: Size and Shape Effect on Cloud Radiative Forcing (CRF)

0.85 SIS 0.8 Asymm 0.85 0.75 New Columns Plate ET 60 Color 100 200 100 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.09 New, Mixture ŝ Coefficier 0.3 0.3 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.67 0.2 0.2 Ice cloud radiative forcings calculated by Parameterization B and Extinction 0. C: same habit assumption, different light scattering database 100 100 200 10⁻⁵0.25-0.70 µn Scattering edo 0.4 -Single. Albe 0.35 0.05 0.07 0.08 0.00 ę 0.25 100 100 200



Ice cloud radiative forcings, calculated by new parameterization A and original Fu-Liou Parameterization C



 $D_e = 1.5 V / A \cong 1.2990 D_{ge}$ · For hexagonal particles, the effective particle size of a cloud layer defined in the new parameterization, De, can be related to the "generalized effective size", Dgen used in the previous Fu-Liou parameterization as shown by equation above, which was generalized to apply to other shapes in our study for comparison purpose. • The broadband asymmetry factor g, extinction coefficient β , and co-single scattering albedo $1-\omega$, parameterized as a function of D_{e_1} are shown in four Fu-Liou bands. Both the change of light scattering database and the habit distribution will affect the calculation of broadband radiative properties, fluxes, and heating rates.

As an example, we analyze the asymmetry factor g. 1. The use of new database results in a smaller g in the solar bands which increases solar reflection, while in the infrared bands, g is larger than the original except in the longest wavelength. However, the magnitude of this change is only half of that in the solar bands, which explains the small difference in the infrared CRF.

2. A model of mixed habits results in a larger g (smaller CRF) in the solar bands, and a smaller g in the mid-infrared region than the single habit scheme. However, g in the far infrared and 11 µm bands becomes significantly larger for the mixed habits scheme which causes a smaller infrared CRF

3. Using new database and mixed habits of ice crystals, g calculated by the new parameterization is generally larger in the infrared bands than that by the original Fu-Liou parameterization, which results in less trapped infrared radiation at TOA. In the solar region, g by the new parameterization scheme is larger than the original one in the visible band, however, this relation is reversed as wavelength increases, therefore, the solar CRF calculated using the new scheme increases less than 10 w/m2 even at high IWC when D =20 um

Case Study, Validation, and Discussions

I: Retrieval of the Thin Cirrus Cloud Properties and Validation by ARM MMCR and MPL Data



Granule 159 on 2003.06.20 over Manus Nauru Island, in which 14 and 15 pixels with thin cirrus cloud were selected around Island, respectively.

agree very well for pixels with small optical denths

pixels are available by applying a collocation method.

MMCR 0.07 0.03 0.13 ~0 AIRS 0.2 0.09 0.26 0.01 MMCR 81 87 106 ~0 D, 78 67 19.6 AIRS 91.5 (**um**) The AIRS-inferred optical depths (ice crystal sizes) are larger (smaller) than

MMCR-retrieved values, possibly due to MMCR's missing small particles (Comstock et al. 2002), thus underestimating extinction

2005.03.01.147 Pixels		6	9	10	13
AIRS	D _e (µm)	20	29	92	20
	τ	.05	.13	.37	.11
MPL	τ	.02	.15	.17	.10

Pixel 6 corresponds with the thin and high cirrus laver missed by MMCR Pixel 9 is closest to the ARM site. Pixel 10 and 13 corresponds to thicker cirrus clouds, which MPL did not have backscattering after 19UTC. Therefore, 1-hour average MPL retrieval for these two pixels is smaller than AIRS retrieved optical depth

II: Broadband Radiative Transfer Calculation and Comparison with ARM Radiometer Measurements.



pyrgeometer, which is located four meters above the mean sea level at Manus Island site and 7 meters at Nauru Island site · The surface infrared fluxes calculated by our model are larger than the ARM radiometer measurements, which is probably due to the absorption of the tropical atmosphere layer between the location of the instrument and sea surface.

2003.06.20.159 Pixels		4	8	10	14
$F^{\uparrow}_{sfc}(IR)$ (w/m ²)	ARM	452.9	454.5	443.9	449.3
	Model	457.2	468.2	474.0	472.1
$F^{\downarrow}_{sfc}(IR)$ (w/m ²)	ARM	401.7	410.0	402.6	406.8
	Model	406.1	410.0	412.9	403.1
2005.03.01.147 Pixels		6	9	10	13
$F^{\uparrow}_{sfc}(IR)$ (w/m ²)	ARM	458.2	457.3	457.0	461.1
	Model	473.2	469.6	476.4	468.5
$F^{\downarrow}_{sfc}(IR)$ (w/m ²)	ARM	418.9	418.9	415.5	433.0
	Model	424.3	424.3	436.4	422.9

· Two AIRS granules were selected: Island, and Granule 147 on 2005.03.01 over

ARM TWP sites at Manus Island and Nauru

· Computed and observed AIRS spectra

· Largest residuals occur in the ozone band The thin cirrus retrieval results were

> · The collocation method was applied to the ARM radiometer data time series. One hour average was taken for the fluxes observed by ARM program. · ARM radiometer measurements are 1-min data from the



Calculated infrared CRF for the 29 selected AIRS pixels as a function of retrieved cirrus cloud optical depth. Red circles correspond with warmer cirrus clouds which are below 12 km, while blue ones are colder cirrus clouds located above 12 km.

· Generally, the magnitude of the CRF in the infrared region increase as IWC increases despite clouds form under different environment conditions. There is a similar pattern for D. (not shown)

· CRF due to thin cirrus show strong dependence on the cloud position. For cirrus with optical depths smaller than 0.3, the infrared CRF increase as τ increases in given cloud position range.

· Although cirrus is very thin, infrared CRF at tropopaus due to the high position of the cirrus cloud.

validated using ARM MMCR and MPL measurement (Yue et al. 2006). Only eight Thin cirrus BT spectra for four AIRS pixels in Granule 2005.03.01.147. The cloud properties were determined by a χ^2 minimization method

2003.06.20.159 Pixels 4 10 14

and surface can be over 100 and 7 W/m2, respectively,

Corresponding author address: Qing Yue, Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Ave., Los Angeles, CA, 90095–1565, E-mail: gingyue@atmos.ucla.edu