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Secondary organic aerosols (SOA) constitute an important fraction of fine-mode atmospheric aerosol mass. Frameworks used to develop SOA parameters from laboratory experiments and subsequently used to simulate SOA formation in atmospheric models make many simplifying assumptions about the processes that lead to SOA formation in the interest of computational efficiency. These assumptions can limit the ability of the model to predict the mass, composition, and properties of SOA accurately. In this work, we developed a computationally efficient, process-level model named simpleSOM to represent the chemistry, thermodynamic properties, and microphysics of SOA. simpleSOM simulates multigenerational gas-phase chemistry, phase-state-influenced kinetic gas/particle partitioning, heterogeneous chemistry, oligomerization reactions, and vapor losses to the walls of Teflon chambers. As a case study, we used simpleSOM to simulate SOA formation from the photooxidation of α-pinene. This was done to demonstrate the ability of the model to develop parameters that can reproduce environmental chamber data, to highlight the chemical and microphysical processes within simpleSOM, and discuss implications for SOA formation in chambers and in the real atmosphere. SOA parameters developed from experiments performed in the chamber at the California Institute of Technology (Caltech) reproduced observations of SOA mass yield, O : C, and volatility distribution gathered from other experiments. Sensitivity simulations suggested that multigenerational gas-phase aging contributed to nearly half of all SOA and that in the absence of vapor wall losses, SOA production in the Caltech chamber could be nearly 50% higher. Heterogeneous chemistry did not seem to affect SOA formation over the short timescales for oxidation experienced in the chamber experiments. Simulations performed under atmospherically relevant conditions indicated that the SOA mass yields were sensitive to whether and how oligomerization reactions and the particle phase state were represented in the chamber experiment from which the parameters were developed. simpleSOM provides a comprehensive, process-based framework to consistently model the SOA formation and evolution in box and 3D models.

Environmental significance

Secondary organic aerosols (SOA) constitute an important fraction of atmospheric aerosol mass and affect climate, air quality, and human health. The simplified treatment of SOA in three-dimensional atmospheric models limits the ability of these models to predict the distribution and environmental impacts of SOA accurately. In this work, we develop a computationally efficient framework to simulate the formation, evolution, and properties of SOA with an emphasis on modeling SOA consistently over scales ranging from the laboratory to global models. In this first application, we demonstrate the model’s capabilities by simulating SOA formation from the oxidation of α-pinene, an important aerosol precursor.
1. Introduction

Secondary organic aerosols (SOA) constitute a ubiquitous and large fraction of atmospheric aerosol mass and play an important role in air quality, climate, and human health. Astrospheric SOA, formed from the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), arise from a multitude of sources and pathways. When compared to other aerosol types such as inorganic aerosols, black carbon, and dust, SOA are much more complex. SOA are a mixture of thousands of compounds with physical and chemical properties that vary over logarithmic scales and constantly evolve in the atmosphere. This evolving complexity is challenging to represent in atmospheric models and especially burdensome to capture in a computationally efficient manner. Since the atmospheric impacts of SOA are largely dependent on the amount formed and their evolving properties, there is a continued need to find computationally efficient methods to represent the formation, evolution, and properties of SOA in atmospheric models.

There is a significant diversity in modeling approaches to represent SOA in large-scale atmospheric (i.e., 3D) models, which include chemistry-climate models and chemical transport models. A thorough review of this diversity has been undertaken before and an updated review is beyond the scope of this work. Briefly, in simpler representations, SOA are either formed immediately upon emission (e.g., for biogenic VOCs) or parameterized to observations of SOA formation in urban plumes (e.g., for anthropogenic VOCs). The SOA so formed are assumed to be non-volatile and non-reactive. An excellent example of this is the 'simple' treatment of SOA in GEOS-Chem, a global chemical transport model that considers a limited number of SOA forming VOCs with the SOA formed represented by two model species. In more complex representations, the VOC oxidation is assumed to result in the formation of several lumped products that vary in their volatility. The volatility characterized by the effective saturation concentration ($c^*$) informs the partitioning of these lumped products between the gas and particle phases. When the products are assumed to be logarithmically spaced in volatility, the representation is referred to as the volatility basis set (VBS). Often the gas- and particle-phase products participate in additional chemical reactions that lead to changes in $c^*$. Furthermore, either reversible or irreversible schemes are used to model the aqueous production of SOA in aerosol and cloud water. A typical example of this is the treatment of SOA in the Community Multiscale Air Quality Model (CMAQ), a regional chemical transport model that dedicates between 35 and 50 model species to represent SOA, somewhat independent of the gas-phase chemical mechanism used. What is common to these various representations of SOA in atmospheric models, even in more complex schemes, is that several simplifying assumptions are generally made about SOA processes that are likely to significantly affect model predictions. A few of these assumptions and their potential impacts are discussed below.

First, it is well understood that SOA are a mixture of organic compounds arising from multiple generations of oxidation starting from a VOC precursor. This multigenerational aging includes both chemical reactions occurring on (i.e., heterogeneous chemistry) and inside (e.g. oligomerization reactions) the particle surface. VBS-type parameters derived from environmental chamber data typically assume all SOA to be a 'first generation' product, noting that the parameters capture the effects of multigenerational aging within the chamber experiment. As lower-volatility products from multigenerational aging are produced later in the chamber experiment (except for products of autoxidation reactions), VBS-type parameters typically underestimate the formation of lower-volatility products. Furthermore, when implemented in atmospheric models, multigenerational aging is either ignored or represented using generalized schemes that are not constrained to laboratory data. For instance, a commonly used gas-phase aging scheme in atmospheric models only considers functionalization reactions despite evidence that fragmentation reactions become increasingly relevant with oxidation. This scheme has been shown to overestimate ambient SOA mass concentrations. Heterogeneous chemistry is rarely simulated in chamber and atmospheric models, which is likely because this oxidation pathway is believed to be significantly slower than gas-phase chemistry. Finally, oligomer formation, if accounted for at all, is modeled as a slow irreversible process (lifetime of ~1 day), in contrast to more recent laboratory evidence that oligomers are formed much more rapidly and can dissociate with dilution or heating back into the original monomers.

Second, most if not all atmospheric models assume instantaneous partitioning of SOA between the gas and particle phases. Theoretical work has argued that the timescales for gas/particle partitioning in the atmosphere are short enough for this assumption to be valid for most particle sizes and locations in the atmosphere. However, instantaneous partitioning dictated by Raoult’s law results in SOA condensation according to the volume distribution when kinetic models have shown that low-volatility SOA condense according to the Fuchs-corrected surface area distribution. When the timescales for partitioning are short, both instantaneous and kinetic partitioning approaches will result in similar SOA mass condensation but will predict a vastly different evolution of the aerosol size distribution that is controlled by the SOA volatility. Furthermore, more recent work has shown that the timescales for partitioning can also be controlled by the particle phase state, often characterized using the bulk diffusion coefficient ($D_b$). Depending on the aerosol composition (e.g., aerosol water and oligomers) and environmental conditions (e.g., temperature and relative humidity), the aerosol $D_b$ can vary over ten orders of magnitude in the atmosphere (from liquid-like to nearly solid) and consequently produce a ten order-of-magnitude difference in partitioning timescales (from near instantaneous to several years, respectively). The aerosol $D_b$ might be especially important to consider in chamber experiments since they have been often performed under dry conditions, conducive to producing semisolid SOA. With emerging evidence that both laboratory and atmospheric SOA might be semisolid under certain circumstances, it may not be appropriate to
assume instantaneous partitioning for SOA in atmospheric models.

Third, losses of vapors to the walls of the Teflon chamber have been shown to influence SOA formation in chamber experiments. When accounted for, they have resulted in significant increases and improvements in SOA predictions in urban atmospheres. Yet, very rarely are the SOA parameters used in atmospheric models corrected for vapor wall losses. They are corrected for particle wall losses and, occasionally, for the losses of vapors to the particles on the wall. Vapor wall loss impacts are likely to vary with the chamber size (e.g., surface area to volume ratio), operation (e.g., actively mixing), and conditions (e.g., OH concentrations and NOx) under which the SOA experiments have been performed. Hence, SOA parameters cannot be simply adjusted to account for the effects of vapor wall losses. What is required is a kinetic treatment of vapor uptake and release from the chamber walls that is now understood to be a function of the vapor volatility.

SOA representations in atmospheric models have typically focused on only predicting the total SOA mass concentrations largely because observational datasets were until recently primarily limited to SOA mass concentrations. However, many more ambient observations of SOA composition and properties are now available. For instance, aerosol mass spectrometers are regularly deployed across the globe and analyses of these data provide information on source contributions (e.g., urban anthropogenic SOA), elemental composition (e.g., O:C), and inferred volatility that could be directly compared against model predictions. Yet, there are no atmospheric models that we know of that track the dynamic evolution of the mass, O:C, and volatility of SOA subject to the processes mentioned above. In summary, there is a need for an explicit treatment of vapor wall losses within SOA models for multiphase and multigenational aging processes and phase-state-influenced kinetic gas/particle partitioning and corrections for vapor wall losses encountered in chamber experiments. Additionally, these models need to be applied consistently between the laboratory experiments that are used to determine SOA parameters and the atmospheric simulations that are used to simulation SOA formation and evolution.

In this work, we have developed a computationally efficient model named simpleSOM to represent the chemistry, thermodynamics, and microphysics of SOA. Philosophically, the simpleSOM model is similar to the statistical oxidation model (SOM) originally developed by Cappa and Wilson in that it uses a statistical approach to represent the processes relevant to SOA formation and evolution, but is much more efficient. The computational efficiency stems from integration with the VBS framework first developed by Donahue et al.* In the sections below, we first describe the physical and chemical processes represented in simpleSOM along with the corresponding governing differential equations (Section 2) and computational code (Section 3). Later, we describe the application of the simpleSOM model to simulate SOA formation from the photooxidation of α-pinene (Section 4). Finally, in the Summary and discussion (Section 5), we discuss the feasibility, implications, and limitations of incorporating simpleSOM in a 3D atmospheric model.

2. Model description

2.1 Volatility basis set (VBS) framework

In simpleSOM, all gas- and particle-phase model species except the parent VOC are tracked in logistically spaced volatility ‘bins’ of effective saturation concentration, c*. This is similar to the treatment of model species in the VBS framework of Donahue et al.,* where c* determines the partitioning of the species between the gas and particle phases. This simpleSOM VBS is hereafter referred to as a simpleSOM set. As will be explained later, each simpleSOM set will have its own set of parameters that dictate the oxidation chemistry and thermodynamic properties of the model species in that set. Tracking the model species along a single volatility dimension substantially reduces the number of species that need to be accounted for, relative to SOM (which tracks model species in a 2D carbon- and oxygen-number space), making it well suited for integration in atmospheric models. A lot of atmospheric models already include VBS-type frameworks to model OAs. Hence, simpleSOM parameters can be represented within the framework of existing VBS schemes in atmospheric models, with some modifications.

2.2 Gas phase chemistry

All gas-phase model species in simpleSOM, which include VOCs and their oxidation products, are considered as precursor species that are reactive towards the hydroxyl radical (OH). The VOC precursor is referred to as the ‘parent’ species, to distinguish it from other gas-phase reactive products. Each functionalization reaction of the model species with OH is tracked by simpleSOM, for, relative to SOM (which tracks model species in a 2D carbon- and oxygen-number space), making it well suited for integration in atmospheric models. A lot of atmospheric models already include VBS-type frameworks to model OAs. Hence, simpleSOM parameters can be represented within the framework of existing VBS schemes in atmospheric models, with some modifications.

\[
P_{\text{On}} + P_{\text{ELVOC}} = 1 \quad (1)
\]
The functionalization reactions are characterized by a decrease in the \( c^* \) of the product relative to the precursor compound. The average decrease in the volatility of the product due to the addition of one oxygen atom is characterized by the parameter \( \Delta \log c^* \), and we use the shorthand \( \Delta t c^* \) to indicate a one order of magnitude decrease in volatility, \( \Delta 2c^* \) to indicate a two order of magnitude decrease in volatility, and so on. We assume that each oxygen added leads to a decrease of either one or two orders of magnitude in \( c^* \), which corresponds approximately to the addition of either a ketone (\( \Delta 1c^* \)) or alcohol (\( \Delta 2c^* \)); the addition of other functional groups (e.g. hydroperoxides and nitrates) will typically fall between those two limits.\(^{28-62}\) The probability that the addition of \( n \) oxygen atoms (\( P_{nO} \)) leads to a decrease in the volatility of \( \Delta m c^* \) (termed \( P_{n,m} \)) is related to the value of \( \Delta \log c^* \) through the relationship:

\[
P_{n,m} = \frac{\exp \left( -n \cdot \Delta \log C^* - (m + 1)^2 \right)}{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \exp \left( -n \cdot \Delta \log C^* - (m + 1)^2 \right)}
\]

where \( n \) is the number of oxygen atoms added and \( m \) ranges from \( n \) to \( 2n \). Eqn (3) weights the decrease in volatility for the product distribution according to the average decrease in volatility (i.e., \( \Delta \log c^* \)). For example, there are two probabilities associated with the addition of one oxygen atom, \( P_{1,1} \) that corresponds to the product fraction that is one order of magnitude lower than the precursor and \( P_{1,2} \) that corresponds to the product fraction that is two orders of magnitude lower than the precursor. Similarly, there are three probabilities associated with the addition of two oxygen atoms, \( P_{2,2} \) that corresponds to the product fraction that is two orders of magnitude lower than the precursor, \( P_{2,3} \) that corresponds to the product fraction that is three orders of magnitude lower than the precursor, and \( P_{2,4} \) that corresponds to the product fraction that is four orders of magnitude lower than the precursor. As four oxygen atoms can be added in a single reaction step, the functionalization reactions can produce a maximum, one-step, decrease in \( c^* \) of up to a factor of eight (i.e., \( \Delta 8c^* \)). By definition, the parent is not allowed to participate in fragmentation reactions.

The functionalization reactions, described above, are dealt with much more simply for VOCs that react with two other oxidants relevant to the atmosphere, \( \text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{NO}_3 \). The VOC reaction with the oxidant can lead to the formation of functionalized oxidation products and ELVOCs but the oxidation products are only allowed to further react with \( \text{OH} \) and not with \( \text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{NO}_3 \). Although simpleSOM can accommodate reactions with all 3 oxidants, we only focus on reactions with \( \text{OH} \) in this work.

Reaction with \( \text{OH} \) also leads to the fragmentation of the precursor into higher volatility species\(^{24}\) and this is characterized using the probability of fragmentation (\( P_{\text{frag}} \)). Since the likelihood of fragmentation increases as a molecule becomes more functionalized (i.e. has more oxygen atoms),\(^{23}\) and since it is oxygen addition (functionalization) that leads to decreases in \( c^* \), the \( P_{\text{frag}} \) values are parameterized as:

\[
P_{\text{frag}}(\log c^*) = 1 - \exp \left[ m_{\text{frag}} \left( \frac{\log c^* - \log c^*_\max}{\log c^*_\max} \right) \right]
\]

where \( c^*_\max \) is the maximum value of \( c^* \) considered and \( m_{\text{frag}} \) is an adjustable parameter. Here, we assume \( c^*_\max \) to be equal to the \( c^* \) value of the parent VOC (rounded up or down to the nearest decade) but it could also be set to a fixed value (e.g., \( c^*_\max \) of 10\(^7\) \( \mu \text{g} \, \text{m}^{-3} \)). It follows that the probability of functionalization \( P_{\text{func}} \) is equal to 1 – \( P_{\text{frag}} \). If fragmentation occurs, we assume that some of the resulting products are volatile enough that they are unlikely to ever form low volatility products after subsequent reactions and the remaining products increase only marginally in volatility such that subsequent reactions may convert them to lower volatility products. With these assumptions, the fragmentation reactions are dealt with as follows. We introduce a parameter, \( P_{\text{loss}} \), that describes the probability that fragmentation leads to permanent loss of potentially condensable mass and the remainder (1 – \( P_{\text{loss}} \)) has a volatility that is one or two orders of magnitude larger than that of the precursor compound, i.e. +\( \Delta 1c^* \) or +\( \Delta 2c^* \). We assume, that of the mass that is not irreversibly lost, fragmentation leads equally to products with +\( \Delta 1c^* \) and +\( \Delta 2c^* \). All fragmented products are assumed to gain one oxygen atom over the precursor.

Taken together, the gas-phase oxidation chemistry in simpleSOM is controlled by the following eight adjustable parameters: (i-iv) \( P_{nO} \), the probability of adding \( n \) oxygen atoms to the precursor where \( n \) varies from 1 to 4, (v) \( P_{\text{ELVOC}} \), the probability of forming ELVOCs, (vi) \( \Delta \log c^* \), the average decrease in volatility in logarithmic space per oxygen added, (vii) \( m_{\text{frag}} \), the parameter that determines the probability of fragmentation, \( P_{\text{frag}} \), and (viii) \( P_{\text{loss}} \), the probability that fragmentation will lead to permanent loss of precursor mass. Since \( P_{n,m} \) is calculated from \( \Delta \log c^* \) as per eqn (3), \( P_{n,m} \) is not a directly adjustable parameter. The values for these parameters, including \( P_{n,m} \), can be either specified (when that information is available a priori, e.g., \( P_{\text{ELVOC}} \) in this work) or fit by comparing model predictions to observations. The differential equations, considering the functionalization and fragmentation schemes described above, for a generic precursor or model species in a simpleSOM set (i.e., \( \Delta 0c^* \)) and its oxidation products are given as (5) and (6), respectively.

\[
\frac{d[\Delta 0c^*]}{dt} = -k_{\text{OH}-\Delta 0c^*}[\Delta 0c^*][\text{OH}]
\]

\[
\frac{d[+\Delta 0c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}-\Delta 0c^*}[\Delta 0c^*][\text{OH}](P_{\text{loss}} \cdot P_{\text{frag}})
\]

\[
\frac{d[+\Delta 2c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}-\Delta 2c^*}[\Delta 0c^*][\text{OH}](0.5 \cdot (1 - P_{\text{loss}}) \cdot P_{\text{frag}})
\]

\[
\frac{d[+\Delta 1c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}-\Delta 1c^*}[\Delta 0c^*][\text{OH}](0.5 \cdot (1 - P_{\text{loss}}) \cdot P_{\text{frag}})
\]
\[ \frac{d[-\Delta c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}[\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot (P_{\text{O}1 \cdot p_{1,1}}) \]  

\[ \frac{d[-\Delta 2c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}[\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot (P_{\text{O}1 \cdot p_{1,2} + P_{\text{O}2 \cdot p_{2,2}}}) \]  

\[ \frac{d[-\Delta 3c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}[\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot (P_{\text{O}2 \cdot p_{2,3} + P_{\text{O}3 \cdot p_{3,3}}}) \]  

\[ \frac{d[C^*_f]}{dt} = -k_{\text{OH}+ c^*}[C^*_f][\text{OH}] + \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{i+8, \log_{\text{vol}}^\text{min} - \log_{\text{vol}}^\text{max} + 1\}} \left\{ k_{\text{OH}+ c^*_j}[C^*_f][\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot \sum_{k=\text{cell}}^{\min\{4, j-i\}} (P_{\text{O}k \cdot p_{k,j-i}}) \right\} \]  

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{i+8, \log_{\text{vol}}^\text{min} - \log_{\text{vol}}^\text{max} + 1\}} \left\{ k_{\text{OH}+ c^*_j}[C^*_f][\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot \sum_{k=\text{cell}}^{\min\{4, j-i\}} (P_{\text{O}k \cdot p_{k,j-i}}) \right\} + k_{\text{OH}+ c^*_i}[C^*_1][\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{loss}}) \cdot P_{\text{frag}}^{-1} \frac{d[C^*_{i-2}][\text{OH}]}{dt} = \frac{k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}[\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot (P_{\text{O}2 \cdot p_{2,4} + P_{\text{O}3 \cdot p_{3,4}} + P_{\text{O}4 \cdot p_{4,4}}}) \]  

\[ \frac{d[-\Delta 5c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}[\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot (P_{\text{O}3 \cdot p_{3,5} + P_{\text{O}4 \cdot p_{4,5}}}) \]  

\[ \frac{d[-\Delta 6c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}[\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot (P_{\text{O}3 \cdot p_{3,6} + P_{\text{O}4 \cdot p_{4,6}}}) \]  

\[ \frac{d[-\Delta 7c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}[\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot (P_{\text{O}3 \cdot p_{4,7}}) \]  

\[ \frac{d[-\Delta 8c^*]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}[\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot (P_{\text{O}4 \cdot p_{4,8}}) \]  

\[ \frac{d[\text{ELVOC}]}{dt} = k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*}[\text{OH}](1 - P_{\text{frag}}) \cdot P_{\text{ELVOC}} \]  

where \( k_{\text{OH}+ \Delta c^*} \) is the rate coefficient for the reaction of the precursor species (i.e. the \( \Delta c^* \) species) with OH in cm\(^3\) per molecule per s and [OH] is the OH concentration in molecules per cm\(^3\). The \( k_{\text{OH}} \) for the parent species is based on literature values while, for all other species, the \( k_{\text{OH}} \) values (in cm\(^3\) per molecule per s) are calculated using eqn (7), which is a reduced version of the parameterization used in SOM.\(^6\)
addition to tracking the oxygen number to perform a more precise calculation of the aerosol O:C ratio.

2.3 Kinetic gas–particle partitioning and particle phase state

The kinetic gas/particle partitioning of a model species influenced by the particle phase state is modeled in simpleSOM using the approach outlined in Zaveri et al.15 The differential equations used to model the evaporation and condensation of the simpleSOM species, for a polydisperse size distribution, are as follows:

\[
\frac{d[C_j^p]}{dt} = -\sum_j (d_j)^2 \cdot N_j \cdot K_{ij} \left( C_j^g - \frac{[C_j^p]}{[O_A]} \pi \right) \quad (9)
\]

\[
\frac{d[C_j^p]}{dt} = \pi \cdot (d_j)^2 \cdot N_j \cdot K_{ij} \left( [C_j^p] - \frac{[C_j^g]}{[O_A]} \pi \right) \quad (10)
\]

where \([C_j^p]_i\) is the particle-phase concentration of the species \(i\) in size bin \(j\) in \(\mu g\ m^{-3}\), \(d_j\) is the diameter of the particle in size bin \(j\) in \(m\), \(N_j\) is the particle number concentration in size bin \(j\) in \(m^{-3}\), \(K_{ij}\) is the overall gas-side mass transfer coefficient for species \(i\) in size bin \(j\) in \(m^{-3}\), \([O_A]\) is the total OA mass concentration in size bin \(j\) in \(\mu g\ m^{-3}\), \(\pi\) is the effective saturation concentration of species \(i\) in \(\mu g\ m^{-3}\), and \(S_j\) is the Kelvin ratio.16 \(K_{ij}\) is calculated as follows:

\[
K_{ij} = \frac{1}{k_{ij}^g} + \frac{1}{k_{ij}^p} \left( \frac{\pi}{\rho_p} \right) \quad (11)
\]

\[
k_{ij}^g = \frac{D_f^g \cdot F_{S_j}}{d_j} \quad (12)
\]

\[
k_{ij}^p = \frac{5 \cdot D_f}{l_j} \quad (13)
\]

where \(k_{ij}^g\) is the gas-side mass transfer coefficient in \(m\ s^{-1}\), \(k_{ij}^p\) is the particle-side mass transfer coefficient in \(m\ s^{-1}\), \(\rho_p\) is the SOA density in \(\mu g\ m^{-3}\), \(D_f\) is the gas-phase diffusion coefficient of the model species in \(m^2\ s^{-1}\), \(F_{S_j}\) is the Fuchs-Sutugin correction factor,16 \(D_f\) is the particle-phase diffusion coefficient of the model species in \(m^2\ s^{-1}\), and \(l_j\) is the length scale over which diffusion in the particle phase takes place. In this study, \(\rho_p\) of 1.18 \(g\ cm^{-3}\) was used based on the estimates produced by Bahreini et al. (2005)16 for \(\pi\)-pine SOA and a mass accommodation coefficient of unity was used when calculating \(F_{Sj}\) based on recent work by Krechmer et al. (2017)17 and Liu et al. (2019)18. The same \(D_f\) was used for all model species. At the two extremes, \(l_j\) equals \(d_j/2\) for a homogeneously mixed particle and approaches the coating thickness for a core–shell morphology that assumes an SOA coating on an inert solid seed. For a liquid-like aerosol when \(D_f\) is greater than \(10^{-10}\ \text{m}^2\ \text{s}^{-1}\), \(K_{ij}\) approaches \(k_{ij}^g\) and eqn (9) and (10) resemble the condensation/evaporation equation expressed in the continuum regime.16 Eqn (9) to (13) are valid only when the model species do not participate in additional particle-phase reactions (i.e., heterogeneous chemistry and oligomerization). When particle-phase reactions are considered, the equations take on a slightly modified form depending on the effective reaction rate and these equations have been covered in our previous work.16

2.4 Heterogeneous chemistry

All particle-phase model species in simpleSOM are assumed to participate in heterogeneous oxidation reactions with the OH radical. This is modeled as a surface reaction wherein a certain fraction of the OH collisions with the particle surface, given by the uptake coefficient, is assumed to lead to a reaction.14 The differential equation that determines the loss of the model species due to these heterogeneous or surface reactions is given as:

\[
\frac{d[C_j^p]}{dt} = -\gamma_{OH} \cdot \frac{[OH]}{4} \cdot \frac{[COH] \cdot \pi d_j^3 \cdot N_j \cdot \gamma_{FS}}{[O_A] \cdot [OA]} \quad (14)
\]

where \(\gamma_{OH}\) is the uptake coefficient, \([OH]\) is the root mean square speed of the OH molecules in \(m\ s^{-1}\), \(d_j\) is the diameter of the particle in size bin \(j\) in \(m\), \(N_j\) is the number concentration of the particles in size bin \(j\) in \(m^{-3}\), and \(\gamma_{FS}\) is the Fuchs-Sutugin correction for OH for size bin \(j\). For simplicity, we assume that the heterogeneous oxidation reaction leads to the formation of model species one order of magnitude lower in volatility (i.e., functionalization) and one order of magnitude higher in volatility (i.e., fragmentation) than the precursor species. The probability of functionalization and fragmentation is calculated as per eqn (4). The uptake coefficient, \(\gamma_{OH}\), is an adjustable parameter but is assumed to be equal to 1 in this work. This value is within the range of uptake coefficients determined in previous experimental work performed on OA model systems (0.1 to 6).72-74

2.5 Oligomerization reactions

All monomeric particle-phase species in simpleSOM (\(M_j^p\)) are allowed to participate in reversible oligomerization reactions that include forward or formation reactions and reverse or dissociation reactions. Our approach to representing oligomerization in simpleSOM is borrowed from that described in Trump and Donahue25 and He et al.26 For simplicity, we only model dimer formation to represent general oligomer formation, where we allow all combinations of monomers to form those dimers but ignore higher-order oligomers formed from the reactions of dimers with monomers or other dimers and so on. Instead of tracking all potential dimer pairs formed from all combinations of monomers, we model dimer formation by keeping track of the monomers that have undergone a reaction to form a dimer; we call them ‘dimerized monomers’. All dimerized monomers are assumed to be non-volatile. These dimerized monomers from each \(e^*\) bin are tracked separately, which doubles the number of particle-phase species that need to be tracked for a given simpleSOM set. Dimer dissociation is modeled by simply returning the dimerized monomer back to its original monomer form. The dimer formation rates are characterized by the forward reaction rate, \(k_f\) cm\(^3\) molecules per s, and the reverse reaction rate, \(k_r\) in \(s^{-1}\). For simplicity and in the absence of any specific experimental data, the same \(k_f\) and \(k_r\) are assumed to be valid for all monomers and dimers and
treated as adjustable parameters in simpleSOM. The oligomerization reactions are modeled in simpleSOM as follows:

\[
\frac{d[O_{ij}]}{dt} = \sum_{k} k_{i} [M_{k,j}^p] [M_{o,j}^p] - k_{i} [O_{ij}]
\]

(15)

\[
\frac{d[M_{k,j}^o]}{dt} = k_{i} [O_{ij}] - \sum_{l} k_{j} [M_{l,k}^p] [M_{o,j}^p]
\]

(16)

where \([M_{k,j}^o]\) and \([O_{ij}]\) are the monomer and dimerized monomer concentrations for species \(i\) and size bin \(j\) in the particle phase in molecules per \(\text{cm}^3\) of particle volume.

### 2.6 Vapor wall losses

The losses of vapors to the Teflon walls have been shown to influence SOA formation in chamber experiments\(^41,42,45,77\) and need to be explicitly accounted for when developing SOA parameters from chamber data. The losses of simpleSOM species to the Teflon walls are modeled following the methods described in Zhang et al.\(^44\) and Krechmer et al.\(^43\). The first-order uptake of vapors by the walls is assumed to be equal to \(k_{w,on}\) and the release of vapors from the walls, \(k_{w,off}\), is modeled using absorptive partitioning theory with the Teflon wall serving as an absorbing mass with an effective mass concentration of \(C_{wall}\) mg m\(^{-3}\). \(k_{w,on}\) for a model species is calculated using the following equation that assumes that the mass accommodation coefficient of the vapor with the wall is larger than 10\(^{-6}\) (above which, this accommodation coefficient has little effect on the overall mass transfer):\(^76\)

\[
k_{w,on} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{A}{V} \sqrt{k_{w}D_{w}^s}
\]

(17)

where \(A/V\) is the surface area to volume ratio for the Teflon chamber in m\(^{-1}\) and \(k_{w}\) is the coefficient of eddy diffusion in \(s^{-1}\). \(k_{w,off}\) is calculated using the following equation:\(^44\)

\[
k_{w,off} = \frac{k_{w,on} c^{*}}{C_{wall}}
\]

(18)

As the \(k_{w}\) is not known a priori, we use an empirically determined \(k_{w,on}\). Zhang et al.\(^44\) determined a \(k_{w,on}\) of 2.5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) s\(^{-1}\) for the environmental chamber at the California Institute of Technology (Caltech) based on SOA experiments performed on toluene, but this was later revised by Huang et al.\(^46\) to a value of 4 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) s\(^{-1}\) (the value used in this work). Based on the observations of Krechmer et al.,\(^43\) \(C_{wall}\) is varied with the \(c^{*}\) of the model species, with higher values used for more volatile species (e.g., \(C_{wall} = 10\) mg m\(^{-3}\) for \(c^{*} > 10^4\) µg m\(^{-3}\) and vice versa (e.g., \(C_{wall} = 0.016\) mg m\(^{-3}\) for \(c^{*} < 1\) µg m\(^{-3}\)). Here, in our previous work, we only consider absorptive reversible losses of vapors to the chamber wall and assume that other potential modes of vapor loss (e.g., heterogeneous chemistry on the wall surface, uptake by water adsorbed on the wall) are unimportant. Vapor wall losses are turned on when performing the chamber simulations and turned off when performing the atmospheric simulations (explained later).

### 2.7 Computational methods

The simpleSOM model is coded in IGOR (Wavemetrics Inc., OR) and the latest version is available at the permanent archival links shared later (Section 6). All differential equations are solved using the semi-implicit Euler method previously described in Jacobson\(^79\) and Zaveri et al.\(^80\). Within the code, the processes described in Sections 2.2–2.6 are solved sequentially in the following order: gas-phase chemistry, vapor wall losses, kinetic gas/particle partitioning, heterogeneous chemistry, and oligomerization reactions. A time step of 60 seconds was used for all simulations performed in this work. On an ordinary desktop computer (circa 2017), chamber simulations were computed in <5 s and atmospheric simulations were computed in <30 s for a single VOC precursor (i.e., \(\alpha\)-pinene). This translates to a computation time of <0.4 s per wall hour of simulation. Fitting to chamber data (explained later) took slightly longer, e.g., ~15 minutes, as this required on the order of 100 iterative chamber simulations. Fitting was performed using a local optimization scheme, the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm, available in IGOR. Because we use a local optimization scheme, we tested the robustness of our fitting results by trying several initial guesses of the parameter sets for fitting. For all fitting performed, the optimal fits were not sensitive across a sensible range of initial guesses, showing that our optimal parameter estimates were robust.

We simulate the SOA formation from a single VOC precursor, \(\alpha\)-pinene, in this work. These simulations used a simpleSOM set of 14 \(c^{*}\) bins that spanned a log \(c^{*}\) range from 6 to 7 and 30 size bins that initially ranged from 10 to 714 nm. These size and volatility dimensions required 868 model species to track the gas- and particle-phase species arising from the oxidation of a single VOC. This number includes tracking the dimerized monomers and the oxygen atoms for each \(c^{*}\) bin. Although this number can be easily accommodated in box model applications, these are too many to include for a single precursor in most 3D models since multiple VOCs (or VOC classes) are generally simulated. Hence, the simpleSOM model will need to be optimized when incorporated within a 3D model, and this is discussed in Section 5.

### 3. Experimental data and simulations

In this work, we used the simpleSOM model to simulate the SOA formation from \(\alpha\)-pinene photooxidation. We do this to demonstrate the ability of the model to develop parameters that can reproduce environmental chamber data, to highlight the chemical and microphysical processes within simpleSOM, and discuss the implications for SOA formation in chambers and in the real atmosphere. To develop SOA parameters, we used the environmental chamber data described in Chhabra et al.,\(^81\) where they formed SOA from the photooxidation of \(\alpha\)-pinene in separate low and high NO\(_x\) experiments. We chose to examine \(\alpha\)-pinene SOA and this set of specific chamber experiments for several reasons. First, \(\alpha\)-pinene is a widely studied biogenic VOC\(^81–84\) that, as part of monoterpene emissions, is an important SOA precursor on regional and global scales.\(^6,85–87\) The
wealth of historical chamber data for α-pinene SOA allowed us to compare simpleSOM predictions to a subset of prior observations. Second, experiments performed in the environmental chamber at Caltech have been characterized for the losses of vapors to the chamber walls\(^{43,46}\) and corrected for particle wall losses.\(^{43,88}\) Wall losses are experimental artifacts that need to be corrected for or explicitly modeled since they are likely to significantly influence SOA parameters determined from chamber data.\(^{43,48}\) Third, the α-pinene SOA data from Chhabra et al.\(^{81}\) have been previously used to develop SOA parameters for monoterpenes for use in box\(^{49}\) and 3D\(^{50,46,49}\) models. In subsequent publications, we will aim to develop parameters for other important SOA precursors.

The α-pinene photooxidation experiments have been described in detail in Chhabra et al.,\(^{81}\) but we provide a brief description below for completeness. Ammonium sulfate seeds added to a clean 30 m\(^3\) Teflon chamber provided a surface area for the condensation of SOA. OH radicals were produced through the photolysis of hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) in the case of the low NO\(_x\) experiment and of methyl nitrite (CH\(_3\)ONO) in the case of the high NO\(_x\) experiment. The initial NO and NO\(_2\) concentrations were below the instrument’s limit of detection (<2 ppbv) during the low NO\(_x\) experiment and were 447 and 400 ppbv, respectively, during the high NO\(_x\) experiment. Photooxidation was initiated by turning the chamber’s UV lights on, which produced an NO\(_2\) photolysis rate of \(~1.5\) min\(^{-1}\). α-Pinene concentrations were measured using gas chromatography while aerosol concentrations were measured using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) and a high resolution-aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-AMS). The decay in the VOC concentrations was used to determine OH concentrations. The SMPS and HR-AMS data were used, along with the knowledge of the particle wall loss rates (calculated separately), to estimate the particle wall-loss-corrected SOA mass concentrations. The HR-AMS data were also used to determine the elemental ratios of H : C and O : C.

The simpleSOM model was used along with the experimental data of Chhabra et al.\(^{81}\) to perform the following set of chamber and atmospheric simulations:

1. A base version of the simpleSOM model that included gas-phase oxidation chemistry and kinetic gas/particle partitioning was fit to chamber data to determine parameters that could reproduce the observations of SOA mass concentration and O : C. The fitting, here and elsewhere, was performed using an objective function that weighed the SOA mass concentration and SOA O : C equally. Fitting was performed separately for low and high NO\(_x\) conditions. In these simulations, we assumed a liquid aerosol (\(D_a = 10^{-6}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)). After fitting, we performed sensitivity simulations with multigenerational aging, vapor wall losses, or heterogeneous chemistry turned off. The results from these simulations are shown in Fig. 1 and 2.

2. With oligomerization reactions added to the base version. The forward \(k_f\) and reverse \(k_r\) reaction rates for the oligomers, in addition to the simpleSOM parameters, were optimized to achieve different oligomer fractions \(f_{\text{olig.c}}\) at the end of the chamber simulation, while still reproducing the observations of SOA mass concentration and O : C. Fitting was performed only for the low NO\(_x\) conditions to assess the sensitivity of the model results to the inclusion of oligomerization. The parameters were then used to simulate SOA formation and evolution in a simplified atmospheric simulation, following the methods described in Hodzic et al.\(^{89}\) and He et al.\(^{91}\) Here, we injected a trace amount of α-pinene (1 pptv) into a box with a constant OH concentration of 1.5 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) molecules per cm\(^3\) and an OA mass concentration of 10 µg m\(^{-3}\) and modeled the formation and evolution of SOA over 72 hours. The results from these simulations are shown in Fig. 3.

3. With phase state-influenced kinetic/gas particle partitioning added to the base version. Different \(D_{b,\text{chamber}}\) values were assumed for the α-pinene SOA in the chamber simulation that spanned from a liquid (10\(^{-6}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) to nearly a solid (10\(^{-17}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)). Separate SOA parameters were determined for these different \(D_{b,\text{chamber}}\) values that reproduced the observations of SOA mass concentration and O : C. Fitting was performed only for the low NO\(_x\) conditions to assess the sensitivity of the model results to mass transfer limitations. The parameters were then used to simulate the SOA formation and evolution in atmospheric simulations, similar to that described in \#2, for different \(D_{b,\text{atmos}}\) values for the atmospheric OA. The results from these simulations are shown in Fig. 4.

4. Results

4.1 Fitting to chamber data and effects of multigenerational aging and vapor wall losses

Results from the application of simpleSOM to the α-pinene SOA data from Chhabra et al.\(^{81}\) are shown in Fig. 1. These results are presented to provide a general overview of how simpleSOM parameters are developed for a given SOA precursor and what the parameter values potentially convey. The simpleSOM parameters \((P_{\text{O1-P04}}, \Delta \log c^*, m_{\text{frag}}, \text{ and } P_{\text{loss}})\) were determined by simultaneously fitting to the observations of the time-dependent SOA mass concentration and O : C ratio. \(P_{\text{ELVOC}}\) was assumed to be 3.4% for the low NO\(_x\) case based on the estimate for HOM production from the photooxidation of α-pinene.\(^{58,90,92}\) No ELVOCs were produced in the high NO\(_x\) case \((P_{\text{ELVOC}} = 0)\). Low- and high-NO\(_x\) experiments were fit separately, and the results for these two different NO\(_x\) cases are shown in panels (a) and (b), respectively. At both NO\(_x\) levels, the simpleSOM model predictions were able to closely follow the observations of SOA mass concentration and O : C and were well within the uncertainty ranges for both measurements. These comparisons, along with the results shown later, attest to the ability of simpleSOM to represent the oxidation chemistry and gas/particle partitioning of SOA. Varying the initial guesses for the simpleSOM parameters across a reasonable range of values did not have a large influence on the optimal parameter set, and hence, searching for additional acceptable local minima was not explored further.

While simpleSOM does not perfectly represent the mechanisms of VOC oxidation and SOA formation, the associated parameters derive from physical and chemical processes. As such, there is some utility in interrogating the optimized parameter values in terms of what they indicate about the chemical evolution of the system. The first-generation,
functionalized oxidation products appeared to be dominated by species with 2 and 3 additions of oxygen to the precursor for the low NOX case and dominated by species with 1 and 4 additions of oxygen to the precursor for the high NOX case. Being a statistical model, the differences in the oxygen additions to the precursor are not directly interpretable. For the fit $\Delta \log c^*$ (1.630 and 1.118, respectively), this resulted in a one- to five-fold decrease in $c^*$ for these oxidation products compared to the precursor ($c^* \approx 10^{-4} \text{ g m}^{-3}$) but these were still squarely within the semivolatile ($c^* = 10^{-10} \text{ g m}^{-3}$) and intermediate-volatility ($c^* = 10^{-3}-10^{-6} \text{ g m}^{-3}$) ranges. However, a significant fraction of the total SOA was composed of a much lower volatility material ($c^* < 1 \text{ g m}^{-3}$) (61 and 83% for the low and high NOX simulations, respectively) than that suggested by the first-generation oxidation products; $c^*$-resolved contributions to SOA are shown in Fig. S1.$^\dagger$ This implied that the lower-volatility oxidation products, separate from HOMs, were principally formed through multigenerational aging. Simulations performed without multigenerational aging, where the first-generation oxidation products did not react any further, reproduced only about half of the observed, end-of-experiment SOA mass (Fig. 1). In the high-NOX simulations without multigenerational aging, as the first-generation oxidation products in the gas-phase were not allowed to react, their loss to the walls drove the evaporation of the SOA that had condensed up to that point. These results provide evidence that multigenerational aging needs to be explicitly modeled in chamber and atmospheric simulations to better represent the formation and properties of low-volatility SOA. The $m_{\text{frag}}$ term was modestly large (3.513 and 4.819) wherein the probability of fragmentation for reactions of the first-generation oxidation products with OH was between 53 and 95%. The $P_{\text{loss}}$ term was close to unity for the low NOX experiment (0.989) indicating that nearly all of the oxidation products from fragmentation reactions were too volatile to be tracked in the simpleSOM set. The $P_{\text{loss}}$ term was zero for the high NOX experiment, although values greater than zero cannot be ruled out given the uncertainty in the fitting process and the potential for non-unique solutions.

When vapor wall losses were turned off, after the original fitting, simpleSOM predicted a 51% and 76% increase in SOA formation in the low and high NOX experiments, respectively. These findings are largely consistent with estimates in Zhang et al.$^{45}$ who found a 60% and 30% increase in SOA production with no vapor wall losses under low and high NOX conditions, respectively, for the same experimental data. The consistent results increase our confidence in the simpleSOM framework that it could be used to develop SOA parameters corrected for vapor wall losses for use in atmospheric models.

We modeled the effect of heterogeneous chemistry on the simulations performed as shown in Fig. 1 although even with an uptake coefficient of 1 this had little to no effect on the SOA evolution (see Fig. S2†). The end-of-experiment SOA mass concentration decreased by 1–5% and the SOA O : C increased by ~1%. Hence, for all results shown in this work, we turned

---

**Fig. 1** SimpleSOM predictions of SOA mass concentration and SOA O : C ratio compared to measurements for (a) low and (b) high NOX photooxidation experiments performed on $\alpha$-pinene.$^{44}$ Model predictions based on fits to the SOA mass concentration and O : C are shown in solid red while those for simulations using the base fit parameters but with no multigenerational aging or no vapor wall losses are shown in solid mint and orange, respectively. The fit parameters for the respective NOX conditions are listed at the bottom of the figure.

$$m_{\text{frag}} = 3.513 \quad P_{\text{O1}} = 0.001 \quad P_{\text{O2}} = 0.260 \quad \Delta \log c^* = 1.630 \quad P_{\text{ELVOC}} = 0.034$$

$$m_{\text{frag}} = 4.819 \quad P_{\text{O1}} = 0.558 \quad P_{\text{O2}} = 0.307 \quad P_{\text{O4}} = 0.368 \quad \Delta \log c^* = 1.118 \quad P_{\text{ELVOC}} = 0.000$$
heterogeneous chemistry off. Heterogeneous oxidation reactions are likely to be important when modeling the SOA formation and evolution in oxidation flow reactors where the oxidant concentrations are much higher than those in chamber experiments\textsuperscript{131} and could be important in atmospheric models depending on the timescales simulated. This process will be examined in more detail in future applications of the simpleSOM model.

4.2 Comparisons with historical data for mass yields, O : C, and volatility distribution

We assessed the generalizability of our parameters by comparing the simpleSOM model predictions to historical experimental data. The comparison is qualitative by design and not meant to be a substitute for a more systematic evaluation where one would need to account for differences in initial concentrations (e.g., VOC, NO\textsubscript{X}, and oxidant), chamber characteristics (e.g., vapor and particle loss rates, and photolysis rates), and environmental conditions (e.g., temperature and relative humidity). In Fig. 2(a and b), we compare the model predictions of the SOA mass yields from simpleSOM to historical data gathered from photooxidation and ozonolysis experiments performed on \(\alpha\)-pinene.\textsuperscript{84,96–98} The end-of-experiment observational data were recently summarized in Afreh et al.\textsuperscript{94} The model predictions were from simpleSOM simulations performed at two initial VOC concentrations (40 and 160 ppbv) and across 24 hours of photochemical aging at a constant OH concentration of 1.5 \(\times\) 10\textsuperscript{6} molecules per cm\textsuperscript{3}. All other model inputs were the same as those used in Fig. 1 (e.g., initial seed surface area, vapor wall loss rate, and temperature). The initial VOC concentrations and OH exposure were chosen such that the predictions could be compared to the observations over a similar range of SOA mass concentrations (1–400 \(\mu\)g m\textsuperscript{-3}).

The simpleSOM predictions of SOA mass yields were found to be generally consistent with the historical photooxidation and ozonolysis data with a few exceptions. Under low NO\textsubscript{X} conditions, predictions from simulations performed with an initial VOC concentration of 40 ppbv seemed to reproduce the cluster of observed SOA mass yields between 0.15 and 0.31 but predictions from an initial VOC concentration of 160 ppbv slightly overestimated the main cluster of observed points. Differences in the model predictions from the two different simulations indicated the sensitivity of the SOA formation to the initial VOC concentration, which could be attributed to differences in multigenerational aging and different SOA production rates relative to the condensation-sink and vapor-wall-loss timescales. The cluster of observed SOA mass yields between 0.15 and 0.31 did not seem to point to any differences between the photooxidation and ozonolysis data for the low NO\textsubscript{X} conditions. In contrast, under high NO\textsubscript{X} conditions, predictions from simulations performed with either initial VOC concentration were more consistent with the photooxidation data but slightly lower compared to the ozonolysis data. Differences in the observed SOA mass yields could likely be a result of lower HOM yields measured in photooxidation.

![Fig. 2 SimpleSOM predictions of (a and b) SOA mass yields and (c and d) volatility distributions compared with historical data. Predictions in panels (a) and (b) are based on simulations with an initial VOC concentration of 40 and 160 ppbv with vapor wall losses modeled and an initial VOC of 160 ppbv without vapor wall losses modeled (no VWL). Predictions in panels (c) and (d) are normalized, end-of-experiment gas + particle volatility distributions based on simulations with an initial VOC concentration of 160 ppbv with vapor wall losses modeled. Historical data for SOA mass yields have been tabulated in the ESI\textsuperscript{†} in Afreh et al.\textsuperscript{94} and those for volatility distributions can be found in Morino et al.\textsuperscript{95}](image-url)
experiments (1.2%) compared to those in ozonolysis experiments (3.4%). Simulations performed without vapor wall losses increased the predictions of the SOA mass yields. At both NOX levels for the photooxidation simulations, the average predicted SOA mass yield was higher than the average of the observed SOA mass yield (a factor of 1.4 for low NOX and 1.5 for high NOX) although this increase was much more variable for the high NOX conditions. Model predictions of SOA O: C at both initial VOC concentrations and NOX levels (0.29–0.37) seemed to agree with historical observations for α-pinene SOA (0.27–0.55) [19,38,99–104]; a compilation of historical O: C observations in chamber experiments can be found in Table S1.†

In Fig. 2(c, d), we compare the model predictions of the SOA volatility distribution from the end of the experiment to the average volatility distribution measured in earlier work. The average measured volatility distribution was based on the measurements of the chemical composition of SOA and the response of SOA to heating. We should note that these volatility distribution data, which were recently summarized in Morino et al., were mostly gathered from experiments performed with OH and O3 as oxidants under low NOX conditions. These volatility distribution data were averaged because there was significant uncertainty (~1 order of magnitude) in the individual estimates and significant variability across studies. Hence, the comparison presented here needs to be interpreted with caution. The simpleSOM model predictions of the volatility distribution under low NOX conditions were qualitatively similar to the measurements in the lower-volatility bins ($c^* < 1 \mu g m^{-3}$), in that the predictions reproduced the distribution of oxidation products over a broad range of $c^*$ values. The comparison was much less favorable over the higher-volatility bins ($c^* \geq 1 \mu g m^{-3}$). The trends in the model-measurement comparison seemed to flip for the high NOX conditions. These comparisons likely indicate the differences expected in the SOA volatility distribution under different NOX conditions and the ability of the model to capture those differences. With new approaches to measure and quantify volatility (e.g., Chhabra et al.), SOA parameters could be better constrained and evaluated in the future by comparing model predictions to observations of the volatility distribution.

Although the comparisons in Fig. 2 suggest that the simpleSOM parameters developed from the work of Chhabra et al. can generally reproduce the historical data, they emphasize the need to test the generalizability of SOA parameters developed from one set of chamber experiment(s) to similar experimental data in the literature before these parameters are used in atmospheric models.

4.3 Oligomerization reactions

Monoterpene oxidation leading to SOA formation has been shown to produce high molecular-weight, low volatility oligomers in the particle phase and yet the chemistry and abundance of oligomers have remained largely uncertain for most VOCs including monoterpenes. We performed a case study to understand the impact of accounting for...
oligomerization reactions in chamber experiments on SOA mass yields and properties in the real atmosphere. The results from this case study are shown in Fig. 3 for a representative low NOX experiment where the chamber data are the same as those shown earlier in Fig. 1 and 2.81 Results for the high NOX case were generally similar and are shown in Fig. S3.† simpleSOM parameters were determined for four different target oligomer fractions ($f_{\text{olig,c}}$) to be achieved by the end of the chamber experiment: 0, 20, 50, and 80%. Apart from the 0% target, the other target oligomer fractions were chosen to span the oligomer fractions observed in previous experiments involving α-pinene SOA.28,108,109 The target oligomer fractions were achieved by fixing the dimer formation rate constant ($k_7$ of $10^{-24}$ cm$^3$ molecules per s) and adjusting the dimer dissociation rate constant ($k_{17}$, s$^{-1}$). The $k_7$ value was chosen based on the median value described for oligomer formation in Ziemann and Atkinson110 and Roldin et al.111 The $k_{17}$ values for the four target oligomer fractions were found to be 0, 0.0150, 0.0024, and 0.0003 s$^{-1}$, respectively, and seemed to be generally consistent with observed ranges in the literature.75,110,111 The simpleSOM parameters are listed in Table S2.†

As shown in Fig. 3(a, c), model predictions based on the fit parameters matched the observations of SOA mass concentration and O : C. Since oligomerization reactions do not affect the particle-phase O : C ratio, the model predictions of SOA O : C were insensitive to $f_{\text{olig,c}}$. This fitting exercise suggests that it is unlikely that we will be able to constrain oligomer formation by only using the observations of SOA mass concentration and O : C. The use of parameters with different $f_{\text{olig,c}}$ resulted in slightly different SOA mass yields in the atmospheric simulations, which are presented in Fig. 3(b, d). Generally, a lower target $f_{\text{olig,c}}$ for the chamber resulted in lower atmospheric SOA mass yields. For example, the peak SOA mass yield with no oligomer formation (i.e., $f_{\text{olig,c}} = 0$) was approximately 30% lower than the peak SOA mass yield for parameters with an $f_{\text{olig,c}}$ of 80%. This was presumably because the atmospheric simulations were performed at a constant OA mass concentration of 10 µg m$^{-3}$, which was lower than the average SOA mass concentration in the chamber experiment (∼30 µg m$^{-3}$). A lower OA mass concentration in the atmospheric simulation resulted in a smaller proportion of semivolatile monomers condensing to the particle phase, which slowed oligomer production and additional uptake of semivolatile monomers and eventually depressed the SOA mass yields. Regardless, since all SOA parameters were constrained to the same chamber observations, the use of a lower $f_{\text{olig,c}}$ resulted in parameters that produced increasing quantities of lower-volatility oxidation products to compensate for reduced oligomer formation. This is probably the primary reason for why model predictions of the atmospheric SOA mass yields were much closer than anticipated for all assumed values of $f_{\text{olig,c}}$. The model predictions of SOA O : C in the atmospheric simulations did not trend with $f_{\text{olig,c}}$ as the SOA mass yields did.

Sensitivity simulations performed with a slower ($10^{-25}$ cm$^3$ molecules per s) and faster ($10^{-23}$ cm$^3$ molecules per s) $k_{17}$10,111 but still constrained to match the chamber observations of SOA mass concentration and O : C, produced similar trends in the atmospheric simulations as shown in Fig. 3(b, d); the results of these sensitivity simulations are presented in Fig. S4 and S5,‡ respectively. Overall, these simulations suggest that the predictions of the atmospheric SOA mass yields are not very sensitive to whether oligomerization reactions are explicitly accounted for when the SOA parameters are developed and that accounting for oligomerization reactions might marginally boost the atmospheric SOA mass yields. Another point to consider is that most OA modules in atmospheric models simulate oligomer formation from the SOA monomers generated from parameters developed assuming an $f_{\text{olig,c}}$ of 0% during the underlying experiments. These schemes should produce more SOA than that shown in Fig. 3(b) for $f_{\text{olig,c}} = 0$% and could overestimate SOA formation in atmospheric models.

The model predictions of the atmospheric SOA mass yields for all $f_{\text{olig,c}}$ were lower than the SOA mass yield measured at the end of the chamber experiment presumably because the average OA mass concentration in the chamber experiment (∼30 µg m$^{-3}$) was larger than that used in the atmospheric simulation (10 µg m$^{-3}$). In contrast, however, the model predictions of the atmospheric SOA mass yields for all $f_{\text{olig,c}}$ were larger than the SOA mass yield predicted using a VBS fit to the chamber data potentially from the absence of processes in the VBS model to simulate the production of lower-volatility SOA via multigenerational aging and particle-phase reactions.

4.4 Particle phase state

The phase state of SOA might be important to account for when modeling laboratory-based SOA experiments since these experiments are typically performed under low relative humidities (<20%) that may encourage the production of semisolid/viscous aerosols. Building on our recent work,76 we performed a case study to understand the impact of assuming the phase state in chamber experiments on SOA mass yields in the real atmosphere. The results from this case study are shown in Fig. 4 for the same low NOX experiment shown in earlier figures. The simpleSOM parameters were determined for three assumed bulk diffusion coefficients ($D_{b,chamber}$) for the chamber SOA: 10<sup>−6</sup>, 3 × 10<sup>−15</sup>, and 10<sup>−17</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>. The largest $D_{b,chamber}$ value ($10^{-6}$ cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>) was chosen to represent a liquid aerosol that reflects the current approach to modeling the gas/particle partitioning of SOA with no limitations to mass transfer. The intermediate $D_{b,chamber}$ value ($3 \times 10^{-15}$ cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>) was chosen based on our recent work where we showed that the observations of SOA mass concentration and the aerosol size distribution in an α-pinene chamber experiment could only be reproduced for a narrow range of $D_b$ values around the chosen value.79 And finally, the smallest $D_{b,chamber}$ value ($10^{-17}$ cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>) was chosen to represent an even more viscous SOA that is consistent with the direct measurements of viscosity for α-pinene SOA.38,39

Each of the fit parameter sets (tabulated in Table S3) reproduced the observations of SOA mass concentration and O : C with the exception that the parameters for a $D_{b,chamber}$ of 10<sup>−17</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup> slightly overestimated the observations of SOA mass concentration near the end of the chamber experiment.
Interestingly, the parameter sets for an assumed $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ of $10^{-6}$ and $3 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ were nearly identical and so were the parameters for all $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ values in between (not tabulated). The primary reason for this was that even though the $D_b$ value varied over nine orders of magnitude, there were few limitations to mass transfer over this $D_b$ range. This was because the timescales for mixing were sufficiently short over the length scale over which the bulk-phase diffusion took place ($l_j$). To remind the reader, the length scale for bulk-phase diffusion in these experiments was restricted to the SOA coating on the solid ammonium sulfate seed particles; at the end of the chamber experiment $l_j$ for the mass median particle (300 nm) was 150 nm. For a 150 nm coating on a 300 nm seed particle, the characteristic mixing timescale for a $D_b$ between $10^{-6}$ and $3 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ would be between ~0 and 2 hours, relatively shorter than the timescale over which SOA were produced (>12 hours). However, the parameter set for an assumed $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ of $10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ was substantially different from those for the larger assumed $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ values (i.e., $10^{-6}$ and $3 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$). This difference was because the timescales for mixing were now much longer, posing significant limitations for mass transfer. For a 150 nm coating on a 300 nm seed particle, the characteristic mixing timescale for a $D_b$ of $10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ would be more than 26 days. To reproduce the chamber observations, the parameter sets for an assumed $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ of $10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ resulted in an excess of condensable SOA mass that had not entirely condensed to the particle phase by the end of the chamber experiment. Evidence for this line of reasoning can be found by examining the model response beyond the duration of the chamber experiment. The predictions of the SOA mass concentration from an assumed $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ of $10^{-6}$ and $3 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ were relatively flat while those from an assumed $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ of $10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ continued to increase as more of the condensable mass partitioned into the particle phase beyond the duration of the chamber experiment.

We performed atmospheric simulations with three different parameter sets from the chamber simulations, one for each assumed $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ ($10^{-6}$, $3 \times 10^{-15}$, and $10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$), and three different $D_{b,\text{atmos}}$ values ($10^{-6}$, $10^{-15}$, and $10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) assumed for the atmospheric OA. These results are presented in Fig. 4(b–d). For example, in Fig. 4(c), we show results for the parameter set which were determined by assuming a semisolid aerosol ($D_b = 3 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) in the chamber simulation but assuming a $D_{b,\text{atmos}}$ of $10^{-6}$, $10^{-15}$, and $10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for the OA in the atmospheric simulation. With a few differences in the parameter sets for an assumed $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ of $10^{-6}$ and $3 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, the results in Fig. 4(b) and (c) were nearly identical. However, as a larger $D_{b,\text{atmos}}$ was associated with shorter mixing timescales and faster uptake of SOA, the predictions of the SOA mass yield for the same parameter set were higher with the use of a larger $D_{b,\text{atmos}}$ value. We saw differences in the model predictions with the use of a $D_{b,\text{atmos}}$ value of $10^{-6}$ and $3 \times 10^{-15}$ because, in contrast to the chamber simulations where α-pinen SOA were assumed to condense into an SOA coating on a solid seed, the SOA in the atmospheric simulations were assumed to condense into an absorbing OA particle. For simulations performed with a given $D_{b,\text{chamber}}$ value, differences in SOA mass yields were substantial initially (e.g., a factor of ~3 and ~5 higher for a $D_{b,\text{atmos}}$ of $10^{-6}$ compared to a $D_{b,\text{atmos}}$ of $10^{-15}$ and $10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively), but the SOA mass yields seemed to converge with photochemical aging. Simulation results for OAs with a $D_{b,\text{atmos}}$ of $10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ converged with
those for OAs with a \( D_{b,atmos} \) of \( 10^{-6} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) in a few hours while those for OAs with a \( D_{b,atmos} \) of \( 10^{-17} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) started to converge after a day of photochemical aging. As the parameter sets for an assumed \( D_{b,chamber} \) of \( 10^{-17} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) produced more condensable SOA mass, it was understandable that the predicted SOA mass yields in Fig. 4(d) were larger than those shown in Fig. 4(b) and (c). The peak SOA mass yields for a \( D_{b,chamber} \) of \( 10^{-17} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) were nearly a factor of 5 larger than those for a \( D_{b,chamber} \) of \( 10^{-6} \) and \( 10^{-15} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), suggesting that such a low \( D_{b} \) value might be unrealistic for \( x \)-pinene SOA. Similar to the findings described in Fig. 3, the atmospheric SOA mass yields for a \( D_{b,chamber} \) value of \( 10^{-6} \) and \( 3 \times 10^{-15} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) were lower than the SOA mass yield measured at the end of the chamber experiment but larger than the SOA mass yield predicted using a VBS fit to the chamber data.

For SOA parameters that are determined from typical chamber experiments performed under dry conditions (RH < 20%) and with an inert solid seed available for SOA condensation, there are two key implications for the results presented above. First, the atmospheric SOA mass yields are unlikely to be sensitive to the phase state assumed in the chamber experiments if the \( D_{b} \) value for the chamber SOA is approximately higher than \( 10^{-15} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\). This would appear to be the case for \( x \)-pinene SOA, which have recently been shown to exhibit a \( D_{b} \) on the order of \( 10^{-15} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\). Second, the atmospheric SOA mass yields are sensitive to the \( D_{b} \) value of the absorbing OA, but this sensitivity is limited to the first few hours of photochemical aging if this \( D_{b} \) value is higher than \( 10^{-15} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), extending to a few days for \( D_{b} \) values lower than \( 10^{-15} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\). The phase state of atmospheric OAs is largely uncertain since there are only a handful of observations and modeling studies that have attempted to do so. Shiraiwa et al. used a global model to argue that the surface-level OA at lower latitudes is likely to be liquid-like (>\( 10^{-15} \) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) from the presence of those aerosol water and warmer temperatures but could take on a semisolid (10\(^{-15}\) to 10\(^{-25}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) or solid phase (<10\(^{-25}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) at higher latitudes and altitudes where the OA experiences lower temperatures and drier conditions on average. Based on those findings, we would expect the atmospheric SOA mass yields to be largely unaffected at the surface level but they could be influenced by low \( D_{b} \) values for SOA produced at higher altitudes. Nonetheless, to evaluate the model predictions and its potential impacts, future work should aim to estimate the \( D_{b} \) of SOA formed from different precursors in laboratory experiments and estimate the \( D_{b} \) of atmospheric OAs from ambient measurements.

5. Summary and discussion

In this work, we developed a state-of-the-science, process-level model called simpleSOM to simulate the chemistry, thermodynamic properties, and microphysics of SOA. simpleSOM is a reduced and computationally efficient version of the statistical oxidation model (SOM) of Cappa and Wilson and was specifically designed to be used in 3D atmospheric models. simpleSOM leverages a VBS framework to track the oxidation chemistry of gas- and particle-phase species and to calculate the properties of interest (e.g., \( k_{OH}, \varphi \), \( P_{frag} \), and O : C). An important feature of simpleSOM is that it is parameterizable, which allows the user to determine SOA parameters that can reproduce laboratory observations of SOA. To demonstrate the capabilities of the model, we used simpleSOM to simulate SOA formation from the photooxidation of \( x \)-pinene. For this model system, we were able to demonstrate that a simpleSOM parameter set, fit to representative environmental chamber data, was able to generally reproduce historical observations of SOA formation (i.e., mass yields), composition (i.e., O : C), and properties (i.e., volatility). We performed two separate case studies to better understand the impact of accounting for oligomerization reactions and the particle phase state when developing simpleSOM parameters from chamber data. We found that accounting for oligomerization reactions and assuming a semisolid aerosol, both of which have been found to be relevant for \( x \)-pinene SOA, tended to increase SOA mass yields in the real atmosphere when compared to the SOA mass yields derived in the absence of oligomerization reactions and assuming a liquid-like aerosol.

Recently, a growing body of work has quantified the emissions and volatility distribution of primary organic aerosols (POAs) and the speciation and SOA formation from semi-volatile and intermediate-volatility organic compounds (S/IVOCs) from a range of combustion sources including gasoline and diesel vehicles, aircraft, and biomass burning. So while the model development and application in this work have centered around SOA formation from VOCs, simpleSOM can be easily extended to model the oxidation chemistry, thermodynamic properties, and microphysics of OAs from combustion sources. In this work, we only leveraged a subset of commonly gathered data from historical experiments to study the processes influencing SOA formation, composition, and properties. By leveraging a more complete set of gas and aerosol measurements including those gathered through novel experimentation, we argue that these measurements, old and new, when integrated with the simpleSOM model have the potential to better constrain process-specific SOA parameters. For instance, several studies have been able to leverage the evolution of the aerosol size distribution with kinetic SOA models to constrain the oxidation chemistry, \( \varphi \) volatility, and phase state of SOA. Analogous to the use of the aerosol size distribution, the detailed speciation of gas- and particle-phase organic compounds (e.g., D’Ambro et al. and Isaacman-VanWertz et al.) made possible by advanced mass spectrometry techniques could be put to similar use. Finally, we will also aim to study the ability of the simpleSOM parameters to simulate the SOA formation from \( x \)-pinene (and other SOA precursors) under different and continuously varying NO\(_x\) conditions.

A modern-day 3D model typically simulates SOA formation from several classes of VOC precursors that include, but are not limited to, isoprene, terpenes, alkanes, alkenes, aromatics, and S/IVOCs. While some of the SOA precursors are modeled separately (e.g., isoprene and benzene), those with a similar potential to form SOA are frequently lumped together for computational efficiency (e.g., \( C_8 \), single-ring aromatics). Regardless, there is a fair amount of diversity in 3D models as to
the precise number of SOA precursors included and this precursor number can vary between 2 and 10. To calculate, as an example, the computational burden simpleSOM would impose on a 3D model, let us assume that a typical 3D model simulates the SOA formation from 5 unique precursors. An advantage of a simpleSOM set is that oxidation products from multiple VOCs can be tracked in the same set, assuming that the parameters can be extended to simulate the SOA formation from those VOCs. Hence, SOA from VOCs with similar characteristics could be ‘lumped’ into the same simpleSOM set, akin to how VOCs are lumped together in gas-phase chemical mechanisms for computational efficiency. For each precursor, let us assume that the simpleSOM set spans across 12 log $c^*$ bins (e.g., −3 to 8). The lowest log $c^*$ bin could be set to −3 (instead of −6 as used in this work) since species with a log $c^*$ of −3 are functionally non-volatile under most conditions relevant to the atmosphere. This simpleSOM set could be reduced to track a subset of the model species. For instance, we could choose to ignore the gas-phase species for the low log $c^*$ bins (e.g., log $c^*$ from −3 to 0) and ignore the particle-phase species for the high log $c^*$ bins (e.g., log $c^*$ from 4 to 8) because these model species are expected to exclusively reside in the gas and particle phases, respectively, under most atmospherically relevant conditions. The exact reduction would need to be configured depending on the atmospheric conditions being simulated. Up to 12 additional species will be needed to track the oxygen content in each $c^*$ bin although the O : C could be parameterized to the $c^*$ bin for a given simpleSOM set to further optimize the implementation. In total, a minimum of ~27 model species will need to be tracked per simpleSOM set. For 5 unique SOA precursors, a simpleSOM representation in a 3D model with no particle size resolution would require a minimum of 40 gas-phase, 35 particle-phase, and 60 oxygen model species. If the 3D model included a particle size-resolved model with, e.g., 10 size sections, the number of particle-phase model species would be 350. Altogether, a basic simpleSOM representation would require a total of 135 (no size resolution) or 425 (with size resolution) species in a 3D model. Several recent studies with regional and global models have included a similar range of gas- and particle-phase species to model SOA and the estimates provided here are now well within reach of modern-day 3D models.\textsuperscript{49,121,122}

The simpleSOM model is available as an IGOR code in the dataset published along with this manuscript (Section 6). This model can be used to perform both chamber and atmospheric simulations and be used to determine SOA parameters that can reproduce laboratory observations of SOA mass concentration and O : C. In theory, simpleSOM should also be able to simulate SOA formation in oxidation flow reactors (OFRs) and non-Teflon chambers\textsuperscript{124,125} noting that certain processes (e.g., vapor wall losses and batch versus steady-state mode) will need to be modeled differently. OFRs are increasingly being used to study SOA formation in laboratory\textsuperscript{123,126,127} and field\textsuperscript{128–131} environments, and chamber-based SOA parameters could be evaluated and updated based on the application of simpleSOM to OFR data. In subsequent publications, we aim to use simpleSOM to develop parameters for other important SOA precursors based on the chamber data from Caltech and other research groups. Additionally, simpleSOM has been coupled with the Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry (MOSAIC)\textsuperscript{9,80} for eventual implementation in the Weather Research and Forecasting Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model. The simpleSOM-MOSAIC model was developed in Fortran with a Python wrapper and a benchmarked version of the code for this model has been made available in the dataset published along with this manuscript. The Fortran-Python version may be better suited for adoption by the wider atmospheric chemistry and air quality community.

The simpleSOM version described in this work is able to simulate multiphase and multigenerational aging processes and phase-state-influenced kinetic gas/particle partitioning. However, there are two key processes that are not currently modeled in simpleSOM but will need to be represented in future work. First, aerosols and cloud water can take up and chemically process oxygenated VOCs to form SOA through aqueous phase reactions.\textsuperscript{9,132} In 3D models, aqueous chemistry modeling has so far focused on simulating the SOA formation from a few unique oxygenated VOCs that are produced in large quantities in the atmosphere (e.g., isoprene epoxydiol, glyoxal, and methylglyoxal).\textsuperscript{133–135} These aqueous SOA precursors and pathways have been included in 3D models explicitly and it is unclear whether the statistical approach within simpleSOM offers the right framework to model the aqueous chemistry for all organic compounds. Second, gas- and particle-phase organic compounds within SOA can photolyze, which have been shown to result in the loss of SOA mass in controlled laboratory experiments.\textsuperscript{136,137} The earlier photolysis work has mostly focused on SOA produced from biogenic VOCs, where organic peroxides and carbonyls have been identified as key product classes that are sensitive to photolysis.\textsuperscript{137,138} Similar to the argument made earlier, it is unclear whether models could benefit from modeling the photolysis of a few key species/classes or if there is a benefit in representing photolysis statistically from all organic compounds. If, however, we chose to represent aqueous chemistry and photolysis within simpleSOM, a statistical approach would require developing parameterizations to model solubility, pH-dependent reactivity, photolysis rates, and parameters to determine product yields and volatility. In the case of aqueous chemistry, we may have to further develop the code to account for interactions and separation of the organic and aqueous phases, as modulated by the aerosol composition and environmental conditions (e.g., relative humidity).\textsuperscript{139,140} These parameterizations and parameters could be informed by highly detailed kinetic models that have been developed over the past decade.\textsuperscript{141,142}

Overall, simpleSOM provides a comprehensive, process-based, and kinetic framework to consistently model the formation, evolution, and properties of POA-SOA-OA in box, Lagrangian, and 3D models. The application of simpleSOM to laboratory and field data is likely to provide insight into the key processes that drive SOA formation and evolution and ultimately improve the representation of OAs in 3D atmospheric models.
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