Coastal-Urban Boundary layer characteristics

As expected, high TKE at the urban site all through the day due to high shear.

Sea breeze enables high TKE values at the coastal site.

The urban site located on campus. The site hosts eddy covariance measurements as well as other sensors to observe the boundary layer characteristics.

The urban site located in the north east of the city and serves as our rural site. The landcover is mostly grass and some trees. The site hosts eddy covariance system.

Located at UoH coastal center, the site is close to the ocean. It hosts eddy covariance instruments on a triangular lattice tower.

Urban site has lower sensible heat flux, as most of the energy is partitioned as storage heat flux.

High urban heat island intensity even during the daytime.

2 - 6 K difference between urban and rural site.

Half-hour averaged daily variability for July 2022. Time in UTC.
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Boundary layer characteristics

- Coastal site has a traditional convective profile with a deep mixed-layer.
- Higher mixing in the surface layer leads to a lower lapse rate.
- Increasing VPT above the surface layer–an inversion layer, often observed during sea-breeze days.

Urban profile much dryer compared to the coastal one.

Humidity profiles similar at higher altitudes.
High updrafts observed during the convective period in the urban core. The nocturnal boundary layer over land falls to less than 400 m while during the daytime reaches a peak of around 2500 m. High anthropogenic heat contribution through A/C use.
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L-A Interactions over heterogeneous surface

A case study over the Great South Plain by LES simulations (Simon et al., 2021 JAMES)

A conceptual study based on LES simulations (Lee et al., 2019 JAS)
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Heterogeneous patterns derived from the Google Earth
Attribution of heterogeneity impacts to different features

A simple approach (e.g., quantifying feature $a$)

\[ a = a_1; \text{ fixed other features} \]
\[ a = a_2; \text{ fixed other features} \]
\[ a = a_3; \text{ fixed other features} \]
Attribution of heterogeneity impacts to different features

A simple approach (e.g., quantifying feature $a$)

$\ a = a_1; \ fixed \ other \ features$

$\ a = a_2; \ fixed \ other \ features$

$\ a = a_3; \ fixed \ other \ features$

Prerequisites

1. Understanding key heterogeneity features

2. Getting patterns with specific features

3. Spatial independence of patterns within a cluster
Heterogeneity features & Modified Conway’s Game of Life (MCGL)

Three key features

• Spatial mean ($M$)
• Standard deviation ($S$)
• Clustering
  • Moran’s $I$ ($I$)

$$
I = \frac{K}{\sum_{i,j} g_{i,j}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i,j} g_{i,j} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.
$$

$I = -1$

$I = 0$

$I = 1$
Heterogeneity features & Modified Conway’s Game of Life (MCGL)

Three key features

- Spatial mean ($M$)
- Standard deviation ($S$)
- Clustering
  - Moran’s $I$ ($I$)

$$I = \frac{K \sum_{i,j} g_{i,j} (x_i - \bar{x}) (x_j - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i,j} g_{i,j} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$$  

MCGL

This model is established by employing the reaction-diffusion system discovered by Alan Turing to Conway’s Game of Life.

![Images of John Conway and Alan Turing]
Advantages of MCGL

- **Stability:** One simulation generates numerous patterns with the same features.
- **Independence:** Patterns from the same simulation have low spatial correlations.
- **Broad coverage:** MCGL can generate patterns with $0.28 \leq M \leq 0.7$ and $0 \leq I \leq 0.71$.
- **Reproducibility:** Pattern features are determined by parameters, not by the initial states.
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Z. Wang\(^1\) (Zhien.wang@Colorado.edu), L. Xue\(^2\), Y. Chu\(^1\), H. H. Shin\(^2\), and W. Li\(^2\), 1- CU-Boulder, 2- NCAR

Supported by the ASR under DE-SC0020171
MLH Spatial Variations

- Differences in MLHs over the five sites could be over 50% of the mean.
- Summer season and afternoon have larger intra-site variations.
- Relative difference are during the starting and decaying periods.
- Large afternoon variations highlight the importance of PBL top entrainment.

(a) Five-site mean ML height; (b) Maximum difference (highest MLH minus lowest MLH); (c) Difference ratio of ML height ((highest MLH minus lowest MLH)/mean MLH); (d) the peak MLH for every site.
Factors Controlling MLH Developments

- LTS (low tropospheric stability) dependency indicates that the nocturnal BL has a strong control of convective mixing layer development.
- Under the same LTS, MLH dependencies on energy supply vary among four sites.

MLH at 11:30 am as a function of LTS and Integrated Energy Supplies.
# Backgrounds

- Uncertainty in NWP-based large-scale forcing (LSF) is carried over to fine-scale simulations (e.g., Gustafson et al. 2020)
- Large-scale environmental conditions control PBL and convective clouds developments (e.g., Donner & Phillips 2003; Zhang & Klein 2010, 2013)

## Objectives

1) Identify key meteorological parameters that lead to the most/least skillful prediction of the continental shallow cumulus (ShCu) convection over the Southern Great Plains

2) Compare performance of different LASSO LSF sources in prediction of the key parameters

## DOE LASSO DATA

- **100-m LES** driven by NWP-based LSF
- **82 ShCu cases** in 2016-2019 warm seasons observed over the SGP
- **8 LSF sources** (including no LSF) for each case

## Analysis

- Bulk PBL and cloud parameters
- Large-scale environmental conditions

1) Group by prediction skills
2) Group by LSF sources
1) Cloud Prediction Skills

- 28% of low skill simulations produce deep convection; only 3% of high skill simulations predict deep convection.
- Differences are noticeable only when Deep Cu is simulated.

2) Large-Scale Forcing Sources

- Differences in simulated deep convection is related to early morning inversion and RH in the lower free troposphere after early morning.
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Afternoon to evening transition

- ABL is directly influenced by land surface
- SBL → CBL → SBL → CBL ...
- Afternoon to evening transition is the short period before sunset.

From Angevine et al. (2020)
Afternoon to evening transition

- ABL is directly influenced by land surface
- SBL → CBL → SBL → CBL ...
- Afternoon to evening transition is the short period before sunset.
- Previous studies found that:
  - Water vapor increase
  - Temperature drop
  - Wind speed decay

From Angevine et al. (2020)
Afternoon to evening transition

- 2-m mixing ratio diurnal variations over different months and sites, 3-years in-situ observations
Afternoon to evening transition

- The moisture increase is due to evapotranspiration from the surface.
- The moisture increase is due to water vapor advection.
- The moisture is transported from higher in the CBL towards the surface.

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{q}}{\partial t} + U_j \frac{\partial \bar{q}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{S_q}{\rho_{air}} - \frac{\partial u'_j q'}{\partial x_j}
\]
Unified Forecast System (UFS) Single Column Model

- The UFS (https://ufscommunity.org/) is a community-based, coupled, comprehensive Earth modeling system.

- Physics:
  - MYNN-EDMF boundary layer and shallow cloud scheme
  - MYNN surface layer scheme
  - RUC land surface model

- Initial and Forcing:
  - NOAA rapid refresh (RAP) analysis data

- Vertical layer = 128

- Time step = 150 seconds

- Several clear-sky days were selected
Results

Observations, May 13, 2019

Simulations, May 13, 2019

Latent heat flux, May 13, 2019

Sensible heat flux, May 13, 2019
Near-surface variables from the three cases in May, 2019
Simulated water vapor related variables of the first bottom layer

\[ \frac{\partial w' q'}{\partial z} \]

\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \] (first bottom layer)

\[ u \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \]

\[ \frac{LH}{h} \frac{1}{L_v \rho_{air}} \]
Results

Observations, July 18, 2019

Latent heat flux, July 18, 2019

Simulations, July 18, 2019

Sensible heat flux, July 18, 2019
Simulated water vapor related variables of the first bottom layer
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