





## Early Results from CloudSat



**Graeme Stephens** 

Cast of many

 incredible dedicated teams, JPL, Ball, algs, DPC, etc



**CloudSat Partners** 





### Data processing



CIRA

Mission management & payload development



### Spacecraft



Canadian Space Agency Radar\_subsystem development, algorithms, validation, analyses

**Ground operations system + Northrupp Grumman** 



94 GHz EIK engineering model development



ARM Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program

+ Universities/agencies in USA, Japan, Europe & Canada **Formation flying** 

Algorithms, validation and analyses

Algorithms, validation and analyses





Science background

How we got to where we are today

Preliminary results

What's new

Challenges & a look to the future

The mission science and aspirations parallel those of ARM

ARM provides a critical anchoring of the information at fixed sites, CloudSat and the A-Train then spreads this knowledge globally.





## 1. Science background



**IPCC, FAR Stephens and Ellis, 2007** 

Colorado



**IPCC, FAR Stephens and Ellis, 2007** 



It is energy that controls the gross global changes to precipitation - changes to the column-wise radiative heating grossly influence (ie control) of the global precipitation response -

The uncertain effects of clouds on this heating is one potential and significant cause for model spread in precip





### Cloud vertical structure and heating



The <u>amount</u> of column heating by clouds is grossly influenced by vertical structure of clouds

The *profile* of heating too is fundamentally governed by cloud profile







Workshop identified profiles of diabatic heating, water & ice contents as critical issues for climate modeling.

Subsequent workshops circa 1990s appealed specifically for better ice info





The effects of clouds on the vertical profile of radiative heating and on moisture



Numerous model studies point to the importance of this feedback <u>Treatment of falling ice grossly</u> <u>influenced the UT humidity of</u> <u>the forecast model</u>







MODELING IMPLICATIONS: IPCC GCMS

### Courtesy Duane Waliser & JPL colleagues



Cloud Ice water content - modelers last line of defense against measured TOA fluxes (Tony Del Genio)





# The emergence of the CloudSat science objectives



**CloudSat Objectives** 



Provide, from space, the first global survey of cloud profiles (height, thickness) and cloud physical properties (water, ice, *precipitation*) needed to evaluate and improve the way clouds, moisture and energy are represented in global models used for weather forecasts and climate prediction.

A prevailing theme that emerged during this time was an emphasis on the notion that clouds and precipitation are part of a continuum of connected processes. Much understanding is thwarted through a general artificial separation of cloud science and precipitation science

Clo	<ul> <li>Products</li> </ul>	
•Meas	<ul> <li>Geometrical profiles =Radar profiles</li> </ul>	ter
conten	Mace& Marchand	
unders	=Hydrometeor profiles	oude?
Hov	•Cloud incidence ditto	ipitation?
	•Cloud type Zhien Wang	
	•Cloud physics = water content profiles	s →?
•Quanting	Austin	tive
neatinę	•Cloud contribution to atmospheric radiative	kies)?
	heating - derived from geometric	itating
	profiles, cloud physics, T,q analysis	Ŭ
•Evalu	<i>L'Ecuye</i> r	perational
satellit	•Precipitation incidence Wang, Haynes	
• <i>Impro</i>	•Quantitative precipitation Mitrescu,	and
precipi	Miller,L'Ecuyer	
To what		on, vertical





## How the mission evolved



### Mm radars have become a key tool to



study clouds









M.J. Post, Bob Banta, Lisa Oliver, Jack Snider, Shelby Frisch, JanGibson, Bruce Bartram, Bob Kropfli with lidar, radiometer and Ka-band radar on Porto Santo Island, Maderia Islands of Portugal, ASTEX, 1992









Radar + lidar, Spectrometer radiometer +



+ sub-mm



Radar + spectrometer







1995 - early mission concept emerged,...

1996- ESSP was born, missions under \$90M 1998 - ESSP II- cap raised to \$120M. This forced the separation of lidar/radar

The selection of both CloudSat and PICASSO (CALIPSO), opened the path for a virtual radar/lidar observing system



# Two key components to the mission design







- 1. Formation with the A-Train
- 2. The Cloud Profiling Radar (CPR)
  - •Nadir pointing, 94 GHz radar
  - $3.3\mu$ s pulse  $\rightarrow$  480m vertical res, over- sampled at ~240m
  - 1.4 km horizontal res.
  - Sensitivity ~ -28 dBZ (-31 dBZ)
  - Dynamic Range: 80 dB

CloudSat is a pathfinder mission - a step in a journey



### CloudSat is the FIRST spaceborne 94 GHz radarcologic - millimeter wave technology





EIK contributed by the Canadian Space Agency



20 kV power supply developed by JPL



### 2+ years after initial proposed launch







Colorado State

### A few highlights

•May 20, 2006 - CPR switched to Operate Mode for the first-time to check out science data collection functions and performance

•June 2, 2006 - CPR switched to operate mode and CloudSat science data acquisition phase began

•June 6, 2006 - First CPR 10° clear-ocean Calibration exercise - these reinforce the calibration assessment

July Eirst Validation project (CCVEX)

• Nov 2006 release of selected data products followed in Jan 2007 by full release

- •Feb 2007 Senior review proposal for continued operations
- March 2007 first science papers







This is a remarkable technical achievement that has clearly demonstrated the viability (for science) of precision formation flying as a future EO strategy



### 2. The Cloud Profiling Radar (CPR) Requirement - BOL ~ -28dBZ calibration < ~-2dBZ



 $\Delta z \sim 500 \text{m}$  (480 m subsampled at 240 m)



Calibration within 2dBZ

Multiple scattering in rain > 5mm/hr

### Validation

Validation is an endeavor that can only ever declared as 'done' under two circumstances: 24

Death

### Walk away in frustration

**Field expts** NAMMA, CCVEX, C3VP, ....TC4, Systematic obs, ARM, ...







## **Early Results**

•Measure vertical structure of clouds, quantify their ice and water contents as a step toward improved weather prediction and understanding of climatic processes

What are the fundamental vertical structures of global clouds?

How do structure & properties differ in the presence of precipitation?

What fraction of clouds of Earth precipitate?

What is the mass of ice suspended in the atmosphere?

•Quantify the relationship between cloud profiles and the radiative heating by clouds

Do clouds heat or cool the atmosphere (relative to clear skies)? Do the radiative properties of precipitation and non-precipitating clouds differ?





Courtesy, Jay Mace



#### What are the fundamental vertical structures of global clouds? Cloud base differences from









Mace et al, 2007



note

## How do structure & properties differ in the presence of precipitation?



Composite vertical profile for west pac, JJA

•Trimodality (quadramodal) heights

• precipitating clouds are deeper than non precipitating clouds



Haynes and Stephens, 2007









•Quantify the relationship between cloud profiles and the radiative heating by clouds Do clouds heat or cool the atmosphere (relative to clear skies)?









Steps toward improving representation of clouds related processes in models ---- Model evaluation



### CloudSat simulator activity



### ¤ CloudSat simulator (Quickbeam)

- **¤** Emulates observations (in the spirit of ISCCP simulator)
- **¤** Requires Cloud **and** Precipitation as input
- $\varkappa$  Has been integrated into certain versions of global models
- ¤ Being adapted to more 'conventional' low-resolution models.





The simulator in NWP, courtesy Alejandro Bodas



Figure B: A comparison of CloudSat data (middle panel) through a warm, mid-latitude front (top panel, line from A to B) and the simulated CloudSat observations (bottom panel) derived from the UK Met Office model forecast using the CloudSat instrument simulator. The model forecast produces wide-scale light precipitation not observed by CloudSat. The extent that this is a systematic problem in the forecast-model physics is under investigation (courtesy, M. Ringer, UKMO).









CloudSat Observations <u>.</u>5 18 0.25 ~ 16 149 Altitude 10 د ج ۳ 14 ع ال e (dBZ 0.2 0.15 L 10 10 10 10 10 6 4 0.05 pnd Clord 2 0 0 - 80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 80 Latitude, deg

### The simulator in MMF, courtesy of Roj Marchand









CloudSat Observations







### Cloud Ice water content (2B-CWC)



### <sup>1</sup> Tony DelGenio







### Whats new

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

### 1. What's new: 2B-Geoprof-lidar

GEOPROF / LIDAR Comparisons 2006288003921\_02471\_cs\_2B-geoprof\_granule\_p\_r03\_e02

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

2. What's new: Tropical storm data base

•More than 170 passes over named storms

•For each storm overpass:

•(A) Storm specific variables

•lat, lon, mslp, max winds, SST's

•(B) Radial/Azimuthal Data

•Brightness Temperature (MODIS 11 um) •MODIS Cloud top height, pressure and temperature

•AMSR-E SST, Wind Speed, LWP/IWP, Precipitation

•(C) Numerical Weather Prediction Analyses (Naval Operational Global Atmospheric Prediction System (NOGAPS<sup>™</sup>)

•Temperature and Moisture Profiles

•Wind Vector Profiles

•(D) CloudSat CPR Data

- •L2 GEOPROF Radar Reflectivity Profiles
- •L2 LWC/IWC Profiles

![](_page_43_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

### 3. What's new: A TRMM-CloudSat data base

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

4. What's new: lidar/radar combined ice microphysics Mace & Wang -

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

Preliminary example from Zhien

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

## 5. What's new: Precipitation profiling product (includes snow)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_5.jpeg)

Mitrescu et al

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

6. What's new: Surface Clutter fixes (reprocessing , July 2007)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Receiver bandwidth 350 kHz results in finite rise time, which causes clutter in bins above surface (rise time ~ 1/B)
- Actual performance (surface return) matches pre-launch tests
- These results indicate that 3 bins above ocean surface are contaminated; at least 2 were expected from EM test data.

used pulse

55

CPR ocean surface response at nadir (0,161) - Shifted on Test Data Scale

Time [ 1 s]

10

-10

-50

-60

-70

30

![](_page_47_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

## 7. Whats new: Matched CloudSat and MODIS cloud products

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

The combination of active and passive cloud information offers the potential for deriving 'new information'

Stephens and Haynes, 2007

Colorado

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Challenges &outlooks

Many challenges -Water contents of Mixed phase clouds, Deep complex clouds Detailed, quantitative validation .... and science that matters

Senior review - proposal to continue CloudSat & A-train beyond 2008 to 2011..

The next step of the journey ... stay tuned

![](_page_49_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

## CloudSat Data Processing Center (DPC)

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Revealing the bimodality of tropical precipitation

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

Approximate Precip Rate (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>) 0.0 1.8 4.4 7.5 11.2 PIA 2.5×10<sup>4</sup> 4.762.0×10\* <11.5 11.51.5×10\* 1.0×10<sup>4</sup> 5.0×10<sup>8</sup> 60 80 20 40 PIA (dB)

**Global tropics** 

West pac

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

## CloudSat FLXHR Algorithm

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

e study example

of comparison between CloudSat and AMSRE -

passive microwave methods are missing significant fractions of light precipitation

![](_page_55_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)