# Observations of Regional and Local Variability in the Optical Properties of Maritime Clouds

A. B. White

Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences University of Colorado at Boulder/National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Boulder, Colorado

C. W. Fairall

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Environmental Research Laboratories, Environmental Technology Laboratory Boulder, Colorado

## Introduction

White and Fairall (1995) calculated the optical propertise of the marine boundary layer (MBL) clouds observed during the Atlantic Stratocumulus Transition Experimen (ASTEX) and compared their results with the result obtained by Fairall et al. (1990) for the MBL cloud observed during the First International Satellie Climatology Program (ISSCP) Regional Experimen (FIRE). They found a factor of two difference in th optical depth versus liquid water relationship that applise to the clouds observed in each case. In the present study we present evidence to support this difference. We als investigate the local variability exhibited in the ASTEX optical properties using measurements of the boundary layer aerosol concentration.

## **Optical Properties Algorithm**

We used solar irradiance measurements from a pyranometer to calculate the transmission coefficient, Tr as a function of solar zenith angle,  $\theta$ . We then used the plane-parallel radiative transfer parameterization **6** Stephens et al. (1984) to obtain values of albedo, Re, and optical depth,  $\tau$ . Details are given by Fairall et al. (1990) A laser ceilometer provided measurements of the overhead cloud fraction, *f*. Microwave radiometric data were used to calculate the liquid water path (LWP).

The plane-parallel assumption is reasonable for the FIRE transmission data since  $f \approx 1$  was observed much of the time. However, the bulk of the data collected during ASTEX pertains to broken clouds. To complicate matter further, the values of f and LWP were measured using upward-looking, narrow field-of-view instruments (i.e., the

ceilometer and radiometer), whereas the values of Tr were based on pyranometer measurements that are hemispheric. Therefore, we modified the ASTEX transmission dat using the algorithm of Chertock et al. (1993) [thei Equations (7) and (8)]. The algorithm accounts for clod fraction (i.e.,  $f \neq 1$ ) and the zenith angle dependence  $\mathfrak{G}$ cloud fraction,  $f(\mathfrak{G})$ .

We investigated the relationship between optical depth and liquid water because this relationship is often used  $\alpha$  parameterize the radiative effects of clouds in large-scal models. For example, Stephens (1978) has shown that  $\tau = (3/2)LWP/(\rho_w, r_e)$ , where  $r_e$  is the effective radius and  $\rho_w$  is the water density. Alternatively, Fairall et al. (1990) have shown that for a lognormal droplet size distributin and a linear profile of liquid water  $\tau \propto N^{1/3}$ , where N is the cloud droplet number concentration.

### Results

Figure 1 shows  $\tau$  versus LWP for ASTEX and FIRE. We used diurnal averages for the ASTEX data to compensat for the sampling inadequacies mentioned above. The lower bounds used for the plot are consistent with the approximate lower limits on the accuracy of our values fo  $\tau$  and LWP. White and Fairall (1995) placed more confidence in the ASTEX optical properties obtained from measurements taken near solar noon because then the instruments are matched to the upward looking case. If we consider only these points in Figure 1, we can place a line through the ASTEX data that lies roughly a factor of two beneath the FIRE line.

A possible explanation for this difference would be that  $r_e$  and N were different for the two cases. For example FIRE took place much closer to a densely populated

#### Session Papers

coastline than ASTEX. Because continental aerosols ar generally smaller than maritime aerosols, we migh expect FIRE to have a



**Figure 1.** Cloud optical depth versus liquid water path. The symbols plot diurnal averages derived from ASTEX ship data (asterisks) and ASTEX island data (triangles). The line shows the relationship for FIRE (Fairall et al. 1990). The circles classify data derived from measurements taken near solar noon ( $\theta \le 15^{\circ}$ ).

higher mean concentration of the smaller aerosols, whik would lead to a smaller mean  $r_e$  and a larger optical deph for a given LWP. Satellite observations do indicatea higher cloud albedo near the Californiacoast (Minnis et al. 1992), consistent with greater concentration of clod condensation nuclei (CCN) associated with continent aerosol sources.

There is additional evidence suggesting the meanr<sub>e</sub> was different for FIRE and ASTEX. Han et al. (1995) have computed cloud droplet sizes for FIRE using the ISSOP data analysis. Their results show  $r_e = 8 \ \mu m$  for FIRE. This is an estimate of the  $r_e$  near cloud top. Frisch et al (1995) show that profiles of modal radius,  $r_m$ , can be retrieved from vertical profiles of radar reflectivity ad microwave radiometer measurements of the LWP by assuming a cloud droplet distribution shape and characteristic width. They retrieved  $r_m = 8-12 \ \mu m$  near cloud top during ASTEX. By taking the ratio of the third to the second moment of their assumed lognormal distribution, it can be shown that  $r_e = 1.36 \ r_m$ . The observed values of  $r_m$  then translate into values of  $r_e$ 

ranging from 11-16  $\mu$ m, which is consistent with a fae tor-of-two difference in optical depth.

We investigated the impact of aerosols on the optical depth of the ASTEX clouds using shipboard measurements b condensation nuclei (CN). Here, we must make the assumption that the particle concentration measured at the surface is directly proportional to the number of activate CCN. Figure 2 shows 10-min values of ASTEX optich depth data plotted for two ranges of aerosol concentration Although there is a significant amount of scatter, most  $\mathbf{b}$ the ASTEX data with "low" CN concentrations (i.e., les than 200 cm<sup>-3</sup>) lie below the FIRE line, while much of the ASTEX data with "high" CN concentrations (i.e., greate than 800 cm<sup>-3</sup>) lie above the FIREline. The low CN concentration data have an average concentration of 131 cm<sup>-3</sup> and on average lie a factor of 0.7 below the FIRE line The high CN concentration data have an averag concentration of 1128 cm<sup>-3</sup> and, on average, lie a factor of 1.4 above the FIRE line. If we assume  $\propto N^{1/3}$ , then the average ratio of aerosol concentrations would change the optical depth by a factor of  $(1128/131)^{1/3} = 2.0$ . This value agrees with the observed change in optical dept (i.e., 1.4/0.7 = 2.0)



**Figure 2**. Cloud optical depth versus liquid water path. The ASTEX 10-min, ship-based data are plotted for instances of condensation nuclei concentration greater than 800 cm<sup>-3</sup> (squares) and less than 200 cm<sup>-3</sup> (solid circles). The line is for the FIRE relationship (Fairall et al. 1990).

## Summary

Using cloud optical properties deduced from surface-base measurements of the transmission coefficient and a radiative transfer model, we investigated the relationshi between optical depth and liquid water for ASTEX ad compared our result with the result obtained for FIRE Our comparison indicated the ASTEX clouds formed n significantly cleaner air masses on average than the FIRE clouds. Independent droplet-size information deduced from radar and satellite observations also suggest that the ASTEX cloud droplets were on average a factor-of-tw larger than the FIRE cloud droplets. An investigation int the impact of boundary layer aerosol concentration **n** cloud optical depth implied a factor-of-two change in thet versus LWP relationship associated with a factor-of-eigh variation in CN concentration observed just within th ASTEX data set, a direct demonstration of the so-calle Twomey effect.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the U.S. Department  $\delta$ Energy Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program ad the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Climate and Global Change Program.

# References

Chertock, B., C. W. Fairall, and A. B. White. 1993 Surface-based measurements and satellite retrievals  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ broken cloud properties in the Equatorial Pacific *J. Geophys. Res.*, **98**(18), 489-500. Fairall, C. W., J. E. Hare, and J. B. Snider. 1990. A eight-month sample of marine stratocumulus cloud fraction, albedo, and integrated liquid water, *J. Clim.*, **3**, 847-864.

Frisch, A. S., C. W. Fairall, and J. B. Snider. 1995 Measurement of stratus cloud and drizzle parameters wh a K-band Doppler radar and a microwave radiometer *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **52**, 2788-2799.

Han, Q., W. Rossow, R. Welch, A. White, and J. Chou 1995. Validation of satellite retrievals of clo**d** microphysics and liquid water path using observations from FIRE, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **52**, 4183-4195.

Minnis, P., P. W. Heck, D. R. Young, C. W. Fairall, ad J. B. Snider. 1992. Stratocumulus cloud propertise derived from simultaneous satellite and island-based instrumentation during FIRE, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **31**, 317-339.

Stephens, G. L. 1978. Radiation profiles in extended water clouds. II: Parameterization schemes, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **35**, 2123-2132.

Stephens, G. L., S. Ackerman, and E. A. Smith. 1984. A shortwave parameterization revised to improve clod absorption, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **41**, 687-690.

White, A. B., and C. W. Fairall. 1995. Ship-basd measurements of cloud optical properties during ASTEX In *Proceedings of the Fourth Atmospheric Radiation Program Science Team Meeting*, 28 *February-3 March* 1994, Charleston, South Carolina, U.S. Department of Energy, pp. 337-340.